```
                                    STATE OF ILLINOIS
        PIATT COUNTY ZONING BOARD
        GOOSE CREEK WIND, LLC
        APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL USE PERMIT
            12/19/2022
        6:00 P.M. - 9:23 P.M.
    PIATT COUNTY ZONING OFFICER:
    Keri Nusbaum
    HEARING FACILITATOR:
    Scott Kains, Esq.
    PIATT COUNTY ZONING BOARD MEMBERS:
    Loyd Wax, Chairman
    William Chambers
    Paul Foran
    Jim Harrington
    Kyle Lovin
    PIATT COUNTY BOARD MEMBERS:
    Jerry Edwards
    Todd Henricks
    Michael Beem
    Kathleen Piatt
    Ms. Johns
COUNSEL FOR THE PIATT COUNTY BOARD:
    Andrew J. Keyt, Esq.
COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT:
    Benjamin M. Jacobi, Esq.
COUNSEL FOR THE OBJECTORS:
    Phillip A. Luetkehans, Esq.
COURT REPORTER:
    Michelle D. Nihiser, CSR
    michelle.nihiser@douglascountyil.com
```



CLAUDIA COIL
Examination by Mr. Kains Page 78
Comments by Ms. Coil Page 78

BRIAN SEBENS
Examination by Mr. Kains Page 87
Comments by Mr. Sebens Page 87
BILL GALLAGHER
Examination by Mr. Kains Page 88
Comments by Mr. Bill Gallagher Page 89
Examination by Mr. Jacobi Page 94
CASSIE REESER
Comments by Cassie Reeser Page 98

CHERENE WHITE
Examination by Mr. Kains Page 100
Comments by Ms. White Page 101
Examination by Mr. Harrington Page 102
GARY WHITE
Comments by Gary White Page 104
SANDRA COILE
Examination by Mr. Kains Page 105
Comments by Ms. Coile Page 106
Examination by Mr. Jacobi Page 118
SUSAN RYAN
Examination by Mr. Kains Page 122
Comments by Ms. Ryan Page 123
KATHERINE RUTHERFORD
Examination by Mr. Kains Page 134
Comments by Ms. Rutherford Page 135
Examination by Mr. Harrington Page 139

BE IT REMEMBERED and certified that heretofore, on the 19th day of December, 2022, the following proceedings were had of record:

MR. WAX: Let's call the meeting to order.
Keri, would you like to call the roll, please. We may not have a flag so.

MS. NUSBAUM: Yes. Mr. Larson?
MR. LARSON: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Harrington?
MR. HARRINGTON: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Lovin?
MR. LOVIN: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Wax?
MR. WAX: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Chambers?
MR. CHAMBERS: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Foran?
MR. FORAN: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Henricks?
MR. HENRICKS: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Edwards?
MR. EDWARDS: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Mr. Beem?
MR. BEEM: Here.

MS. NUSBAUM: Ms. Johns?

MS. JOHNS: Here.
MS. NUSBAUM: Ms. Piatt?

MS. PIATT: Here.

MS. NUSBAUM: Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Thank you, Keri. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. It is hard to pledge allegiance to our flag when the flag isn't here. That's why we had to skip that part. All right. Folks, when last we met, Mr. Leutkehans was presenting his case in opposition to the application for the Goose Creek Wind Energy for a special use permit. Mr. Leutkehans, you may call your next witness.

MR. LUETKEHANS: We would call Dylan Gallagher.

EXAMINATION BY

MR. LUETKEHANS:
MR. KAINS: Good evening, Mr. Gallagher. Could you please state your name, spelling your first and last name for the court reporter.
A. Dylan Gallagher, $D-Y-L-A-N, G-A-L-L-A-G-H-E-R$.
Q. Mr. Gallagher, could you state your address?
A. 2399 North 1000 East Road.

MR. KAINS: Mr. Leutkehans, before we go any
further, we need to swear the witness.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Oh, we need to swear the witness.

MR. KAINS: Would you raise your right hand please, sir.
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Okay. Now could you state your address?
A. 2399 North 1000 East Road, Mansfield, Illinois 61854.
Q. And do you know what receptor you are in the application?
A. Receptor 120.
Q. Okay. And do you reside at 2399 North 1000 East Road?
A. Yes. I do.
Q. And how many acres do you reside on?
A. There's, like, 4.75 acres.
Q. Do you own farmland around the 4.75 acres?
A. Yes.
Q. How much?
A. 40 .
Q. Okay. But the 4.75 acres -- there's -- is the 4.75 acres a different pin number than the 40?
A. Yes. It is.
Q. And you use that just for your residence, correct?
A. Yes.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Nothing further.
MR. KAINS: Mr. Gallagher, is there anything
else you would like to add?
MR. GALLAGHER: No.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Questions for Mr.
Gallagher from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals? Questions for Mr. Gallagher from members of units of local government including school districts? Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: Yes, sir. Thank you. If I may approach the witness with a cross exhibit.

MR. KAINS: Yes. Show it to Mr. Luetkehans
first. What exhibit number is this going to be?
MR. JACOBI: We have marked it as --
MR. KAINS: Mr. Keyt says 42. Do we all agree on that?

MR. JACOBI: I have it marked 40, but we'll
call this Applicant's Exhibit 42.
EXAMINATION BY
MR. JACOBI:
Q. Mr. Gallagher, thank you for your testimony tonight. What I have just marked as Applicant's Exhibit 42, is this the residence you were referring to?
A. Yes. It is.
Q. This is your residence?
A. Yes.
Q. You can see North 1000 East traveling south to north on the map?
A. That's correct.
Q. And on the map, there's two structures. The top one looks like your house; is that accurate?
A. Yes. The one on the north side of the property is the house.
Q. Thank you. What's the structure to the south of your house?
A. You know, there's several structures. What structure are you referring to?
Q. Everything south of the house, the driveway going down to those facilities. I am not sure what they are?
A. That's a cattle feeding facility.
Q. Okay. The 4.75 acres, can you describe where on this map those are located, that pin?
A. That is the -- basically, the north side of
the property. If you drew a line from 1000 heading straight east where you can kind of see where the first building starts. That's the separation of parcels.
Q. Okay. So from the road going east just north of that first building, that's part of your cattle feeding facilities?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. That goes straight east to the darker colored green area?
A. Yes. Where it changes. Yes.
Q. And then does it -- does the 4.75 acres travel north along that boundary in the dark green and the lighter green?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. Then it's bounded at the top by a crop?
A. Where at?
Q. Oh, bounded on the north end by -- it looks like a row of trees in your road?
A. Yes. That's correct. Yes.

MR. JACOBI: No further questions. Thank you. MR. KAINS: Thank you. Are there questions -oh, wait Mr. Gallagher. Are there questions from any other licensed attorneys in the room? Questions from other interested parties or those in support of the
application for special use permit or those who are neutral, any questions from those folks for Mr.

Gallagher? Questions for Mr. Gallagher from Piatt County staff and consultants? Mr. Leutkehans, any redirect?

MR. LEUTKEHANS: Real quick.
EXAMINATION BY
MR. LEUTKEHANS:
Q. If it's acceptable to the board, I am going to ask Mr. Gallagher just to highlight in orange on his copy the 4.75 acres so it's clear for the record.

MR. KAINS: Very good.
Q. Mr. Gallagher, on the exhibit that you were handed, you have highlighted the entire area in orange. That's the 4.75 acres; is that correct?
A. Yes. That's correct.

MR. LUETKEHANS: I'm going to submit this, I
think. I don't know if it's acceptable -- well, here, how about this. I will submit this as Objector's Exhibit 40. Let's call it 40 for the record and give that one to the --

MR. KAINS: Mr. Keyt.
MR. JACOBI: I think I marked it incorrectly at the bottom the first time around so you might just
want to cross out what $I$ have marked.

MR. LUETKEHANS: I have nothing further of Mr. Gallagher.

MR. KAINS: All right. Thank you. In light of Mr. Leutkehans's question, do you have anything further, Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: No.

MR. KAINS: All right. Final questions come
from the Zoning Board of Appeals. Questions from the ZBA for Mr. Gallagher?

EXAMINATION BY

MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. Dylan, you got anything you want to tell us?

Anything you want to tell us in particular, I mean, outside of the fact of location?
A. No. Not at this time, I don't.
Q. I guess one question $I$ would have in general.

Receptor 120 , what are we referring to here?
MR. LUETKEHANS: If I may for the record, it is -- Receptor 120 is shown on the SUP application. It's something that's in the sound report and we'll talk about it in closing, but $I$ just wanted to create the record. But here, receptor -- I don't think Mr. Jacobi will disagree that these are numbers from the sound
report that you heard about.

MR. JACOBI: That's right. The receptors were each labeled a number. So Receptor 140 was -- actually 104.
A. 120 .

MR. JACOBI: I'm sorry?
A. 120 .

MR. JACOBI: 120 was it? This was the receptor.

MR. LUETKEHANS: You will hear a few more numbers with the next witness, but it will all be the same concept.

MR. KAINS: Are there any other questions from the zoning board?

EXAMINATION BY
MR. LOVIN:
Q. Yes. Dylan, what kind of operation are you running? Is it more of a feeding operation or cow/calf or what is it that you're doing?
A. It's a cow/calf operation and feeding operation.

MR. KAINS: Anything else for Mr. Gallagher from the board? Mr. Gallagher, I'm going to just admonish you and everyone in the room, what you've done
is fine. But I want to note for the record that this is your opportunity to speak. I have been questioned about public comments, whether there's a separate public comment period, whether there is an opportunity for closing statements or remarks from individuals. This is the one time when you come up to testify that you will be able to make -- you will be able to testify as to exhibits, you will be able to say what you want as if you were in a public comment period of a meeting and this is what you would -- this is also the time to make a statement of your feelings on the issues. So with that said, folks, when you come up to the microphone when your name is called tonight and if we go into tomorrow night on this, this will be your one opportunity to address the board by way of evidence, testimony, exhibits, public comments, closing statements. This is your chance to say what you would like. With that, anything further from you, Mr. Gallagher?

MR. GALLAGHER: Yes. I guess I would like to say something.

MR. KAINS: Yes, sir.
COMMENTS BY MR. GALLAGHER:
MR. GALLAGHER: I think we can all say we've
learned a lot from these meetings good, bad, indifferent, whatever it may be. But I think one thing we have learned that maybe when the WECS was put into place, we all didn't have the information that we needed. Maybe there is some things, setback, noise, flicker, whatever it may be, that could be done different. I think this special use permit should be voted down and everybody should go back with what they learned and the WECS should be rewritten to protect the people of Piatt County. MR. KAINS: Very good, sir. Thank you. Appreciate your comments. Mr. Leutkehans, call your next witness.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Steve Gantz. MR. KAINS: Sir, could you please raise your right hand and be sworn by the court reporter.

## EXAMINATION BY

BY LUETKEHANS:
(Witness Sworn)
THE COURT: Mr. Luetkehans, you may proceed.
Q. Could you state your name and spell your name, please.
A. Steven, S-T-E-V-E-N. Gantz, G-A-N-T-Z.
Q. Could you tell us your address, please.
A. 754 East 2400 North Road, De Land, Illinois.
Q. And do you know what receptor you are in for the special use application?
A. 1104 .
Q. And how many acres of land do you own at that address -- strike that. Do you reside there?
A. Yes.
Q. And many acres do you own at that address?
A. We own about 110 acres.
Q. Is there a particular acreage that you use for just your residence?
A. Yes.
Q. How much is that, do you know?
A. Probably three and a half acres, something like that.
Q. Who do you live there with?
A. My wife, Michelle.

MR. LEUTKEHANS: Nothing further.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you, Mr.
Leutkehans. Are there questions for Mr. Gantz from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals? Mr. Harrington. And if you could bring the microphone closer.

MR. HARRINGTON: I'll steal it from Kyle.

MR. KAINS: Well, we know your voice booms, Mr. Harrington, but it's still helpful to have a microphone. Go right ahead, sir.

MR. HARRINGTON: You bet.
EXAMINATION BY

MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. Steve, if I understand right, the highline proposed in this map is across from your house; is that accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. I gotcha. Can you tell me a little bit about the distance from your home? Is it right across the road or is it how many feet, I don't know, and maybe you don't know either?
A. Well, I guess I don't know. I asked the other day for the actual distance, but they never did get back to me even though $I$ asked them a second time. It's going to be less than a quarter of a mile. The way it looks to me, I don't know for sure, the way it looks like on the map, it would be less than a quarter mile.
Q. I gotcha. Is it correct in saying this will be on the south side of your property; is that accurate?
A. Well, it's across the road. It's not on my property. It will be across the road on a different
person's.
Q. But to the south of your property?
A. Yes.
Q. That's what I thought looking at the map. So it's going to be pretty close if it's within a quarter of a mile, I guess?
A. Yes.
Q. I would assume that you saw the published request, but were you ever consulted or contacted prior to that, I don't know?
A. No. Not at all. I didn't know about that until I saw Apex's map that I got from the zoning board.
Q. Right. Same thing we are working off of?
A. Yes.
Q. I gotcha. Any other thoughts or concerns regarding that that you would like to share?
A. Well, I guess it would have been nice -- they came out of the substation and headed straight east which brings it right in front of my house. Then they went south, straight south, down to the transmission line where the peaker plant is. It looks like it would have been a lot better to me if they would have gone just straight south out of the substation and then gone east. It would have been, you know, farther away from
my house and also my neighbor's house over there too.
Q. I easily understand what you're saying.
A. Pardon?
Q. I said I easily understand what you're saying. A. Yes.

MR. KAINS: Are there any additional questions from the Zoning Board of Appeals for Mr. Gantz? Very good. Questions for Mr. Gantz of members of units of local government including the school districts?

Questions for Mr. Gantz from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: No thank you, Mr. Gantz.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Any other licensed attorneys in the room with questions for this witness? Questions from other interested parties, members of the public in support of or neutral on the application for special use permit? Questions for Mr. Gantz from Piatt County staff and consultants? Any other questions from you, Mr. Leutkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: One follow up based on what Mr. Harrington asked.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. LUETKEHANS:
Q. I forgot to ask, how close is this substation
to you, do you know?
A. Yes. Half a mile, little bit less than a half mile probably.
Q. That they're planning on putting there?
A. Yes.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Nothing further. Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Mr. Jacobi, in light of that
question?
MR. JACOBI: No.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Final questions for this witness coming from the Zoning Board of Appeals? Mr. Gantz, is there anything else you would like to say, sir, in opposition?

MR. GANTZ: Yes.
MR. KAINS: You may proceed.
COMMENTS BY MR. GANTZ:
MR. GANTZ: The wind turbine coming to Piatt County will be huge, 610 feet tall with a rotor diameter of 550 feet, some of the biggest being made at this time. The turbines in McLean County near Saybrook and Arrowsmith are 400 feet tall. These in Piatt County will be over 200 feet taller than those. Apex's own expert witness told us these big turbines will catch shadow flicker for over a mile. On a sunny day if the
wind is from the west or the east, in the mornings the flicker will reach to the west for a mile as the sun comes up. That shadow flicker would become shorter as the day progresses. As the afternoon goes on, the flicker pattern will extend on the east side of the turbine until, again, it can reach an area over a mile long to the east of the turbine. That's a big area of moving pulsating light. Yes. There is a limit to how long this moving pulsating light pattern can contact your house, but there is no limit to shadow flicker out in the fields. And in Piatt County Illinois, the fields are where many people spend their days working. I think it could be disorienting operating or working on machinery in this environment. These huge wind turbines are better suited in less populated areas where land is more marginal and not as intensively used or maybe these turbines would be better used offshore in, say, Lake Michigan. Vertical shaft wind turbines are being developed. Maybe they will be a better alternative. I am sure there is much more new technology being developed that we don't even know about. Here I would like to say that I wrote the above two weekends ago before the announcement by scientists on Tuesday December 12 of the breakthrough development in nuclear
fusion. We are in a new age, a nuclear fusion age. That announcement only strengthens my resolve that giant wind turbines are not suited to or desirable in Piatt County. I would also like to express my concern about damage to drainage tile. When talking to a farmer near Bellflower, I learned they have had many damaged tile lines. When damage occurs, is Apex going to only replace the four feet of tile where the hole is? What about the next 1000 feet that is now half-full of dirt? To sum up, I have two remaining concerns: The first is the possibility of infrasound which is made by the turbines affecting our health. Dr. Jerry Punch, an expert witness, testified that ten percent of the population can be affected by infrasound. Infrasound can affect people in many different ways irritability, headaches, motion sickness, an inability to sleep are just a few. Some people have even had to leave their homes to get away from the turbine. We live in close enough proximity to the turbines to be affected by this if we are susceptible. Are we susceptible? There is no way of knowing until we live in the wind farm and by then it will be too late. The last concern we have is a lowered value of our home. Mr. Kurt Kielisch testified that homes on one to five acre lots decreased in value

24 to 29 percent if they are in one mile of a wind turbine. Many of our homes certainly qualifies in this category. Using a ruler and carefully measuring the Apex map, I found that Turbine 59 is approximately seven-tenths of a mile almost straight west of our home. Turbine 48 is approximately three-fourths of a mile about straight north of our home. Turbine 63 is approximately eight-tenths of a mile slightly southwest of our home and Turbine 62 is just slightly over one mile southeast from our house. Because of our close proximity to Apex wind turbines, our home value will certainly drop. This puts Apex in violation of Piatt County Zoning Ordinance No. 2, which states, "The specialized use will not substantially diminish and impair property values within the neighborhood." Being in violation of Piatt County Zoning Ordinance No. 2, I ask that the Piatt County Zoning Board deny Apex Energy it's special use permit.

MR. KAINS: Very good, Mr. Gantz. Thank you. Based upon his statement, any questions for him just based on his statement from the board? Mr. Jacobi, anything further?

MR. LUETKEHANS: Can I clarify something maybe I -- this was just intended for public comment, not for
testimony as was Mr. Gallagher's statement at the end. Since you didn't break it up, I didn't make it clear. But I know Mr. Gantz was just intending this for public comment later and he was doing it now because we asked him to.

MR. KAINS: Do you have anything, Mr. Jacobi?
MR. JACOBI: I don't have any questions for this witness, but $I$ think we should clarify this going forward because --

MR. LEUTKEHANS: -- I will. I apologize.
MR. KAINS: I think the best course of action is, Mr. Leutkehans, if they are your client, you can ask them questions and they can make whatever statement they wish. Then we'll have questions for them.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Well, I don't -- I mean, I don't know the gentleman who is testifying for public comment purposes only should have questions by the applicant or the public. I mean, if you want us to, we'll have him testify what $I$ want him to and then later go back in the line, but $I$ don't know that it's fair just because they're my client that they have to be cross-examined on public comment.

MR. JACOBI: I think Mr. Kains -- those interested parties who testify under oath as evidence in
the case which is what their testimony would be, would be subject to cross-examination by the applicant.

MR. LUETKEHANS: We are not asking that it be considered evidence. We are asking it be considered for public comment. The first question and answers are clearly evidence. After that, unless I say otherwise, I mean, we'll denote it that that was intended for public comment.

MR. KAINS: I understand your position, Mr. Luetkehans; and Mr. Jacobi, I understand yours. What I think would be best and what we're going to do is, anything that anybody gets up and says, if this board has questions about it, this board should be able to ask it and they will. If Mr. Jacobi has questions, and I've had folks ask me, is he going to -- are they going to have the right to cross-examine me? And the answer is yes. When you have something you have to say about it, unless you specifically state and -- perhaps we'll do it this way, Mr. Luetkehans. Specifically state this is just in lieu of a closing statement at the end. MR. LUETKEHANS: We'll do that then. MR. JACOBI: Well, if it's going to be testimony under oath, how are you going to distinguish that?

MR. LUETKEHANS: I guess my point is, we are not going to offer, I mean, if you wanted to go back out and say he's not testifying under oath, that's fine. But there is a distinction we have made in these rules that has public comment as one thing and evidence is another. The evidence is one thing, but it's public comment. You know, $I$ think it's subject under cross-examination for public comment is not what you intended under these rules.

MR. JACOBI: Any interested party testifying under oath is subject to cross-examination.

MR. LUETKEHANS: No. The point is, they're not going to testify under oath at this point. It's a different type of statement.

MR. JACOBI: And that public comment might come after the decision, but it wouldn't come as --

MR. LUETKEHANS: -- no. They come before the decision. Under the rules, they come next. I mean, we'll let -- my suggestion is we have them testify evidence now and then put them back for public comments so it's very clear. But the evidence that they're testifying to are those five or six questions that I ask. If someone from the public comes up and gives public comment, not under oath, which most of these
people are here to do, that's not subject to cross-examination.

MR. JACOBI: I agree that if they're not under oath and they're not providing evidence to the Court, there's no cross-examination. But that's not how it was presented here, that's not how I understood the next stage of this hearing would take place.

MR. KAINS: All right. Here's what we're going to do. Folks who have things that they wish to say to the board under oath that they can consider testimony and if they have exhibits, then those will be considered testimony subject to cross-examination by the room. If all you have to say is public comment, but I am going to be strict about this public comment. It's going to be limited to three minutes because if you want to have your 45 minutes for Piatt County residents, you may have that, but it's going to be under oath. If you just want to make a summary statement of what your feeling is on the project, we don't subject you to cross-examination. I think that's fair because the whole thing is, we want to make sure that if you make a statement and you are considering it evidence, then this board has the right to ask you questions, as do the attorneys, as do members of public on the other side of
the issue. If you just want to stand up for three-minutes and say I am opposed to this and here is why, then $I$ am not going to subject you to examination questions from the board, the public and the attorneys. I think that's fair. Counsel?

MR. JACOBI: Yes. That would be a new order of presentation in the rules, but on behalf of the applicant, we would agree to that.

MR. KAINS: Mr. Luetkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: Agreed.
MR. KAINS: All right. Counsel on each side is in agreement. Your public comment period once you begin, will be for three minutes and it won't be subject to cross-examination. I think that way everyone will feel at ease saying what they want to say without being subject to cross-examination by this board, by counsel, and by people on the other side. But however, please note that if you're going to have a 45-minute presentation, it's going to be under oath, sworn testimony, and questions from the board, the public and the attorneys. All right.

MR. JACOBI: I think just one point of clarification. Public comment is not under oath, so it's not evidence to be considered by the board outside
of it's value as public comment.
MR. KAINS: Absolutely. Thank you for that point, Mr. Jacobi. Anything else on this issue from you, Mr. Leutkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No, sir.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Mr. Gantz, sorry to have you stand up there while we do legal stuff, but we appreciate your testimony and your comment. Thank you.

MR. GANTZ: Thank you.
MR. LUETKEHANS: We would call Mr. Garrett to the stand.

MR. KAINS: Sir, are you going to be sworn and make a sworn statement? Okay. Could you please raise your right hand and be sworn by the court reporter, please.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. LUETKEHANS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Could you please state your name and spell your name for the record.
A. John Garrett. $\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{G}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{T}$.
Q. Mr. Garrett, could you give us your address?
A. 787 East 2300 North Road.
Q. Is there a town associated with that mailing
address?
A. De Land.
Q. Say that again, I'm sorry.
A. De Land.
Q. I was talking over you so I apologize. Do you
know what receptor number you are on the application?
A. 846 .
Q. Okay. And many acres do you own there?
A. Almost four.
Q. Do you live at that address?
A. Yes. I do.
Q. Do you live there with anyone?
A. Yes. My wife, Teresa.
Q. Okay. Do you farm any of those four acres?
A. No.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Nothing further.
MR. KAINS: Questions for Mr. Garrett from
members of Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals? Questions for members of units of local government including school districts? Questions for interested parties represented by attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: No thank you, Mr. Garrett.
MR. KAINS: Questions from other interested parties, members of the public in support of the
application or are neutral on the issue? Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants? Mr. Garrett, under public comment, if you would like to make a statement for a few minutes.

COMMENTS BY MR. GARRETT:
MS. GARRETT: Well, $I$ just disagree with it. I don't want it there. I have lived here for over 30 years. We spend 85 percent of our time on the deck outside. They told us the power plant wasn't going to make no noise. That was a joke. I can hear it in the middle of summer. And I am sure the wind turbines -- I know because I've heard them at night. That's all I got to say.

MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you, Mr.
Garrett, for your testimony. Mr. Luetkehans?
MR. LUETKEHANS: Kevin Rice, please.
MR. KAINS: Hang on just a second. Sir, would you please raise your right hand and be sworn.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. LUETKEHANS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Mr. Rice, could you please state your address, please.
A. 3137 North 900 East Road in Farmer City, zip
code 61842.
Q. And do you know what receptor number you are?
A. Yes. 631.
Q. And that's Receptor 631 on the application for the record. And how much -- do you own land at that address?
A. Yes. About five and a half acres.
Q. And you live there?
A. Yes. With my girlfriend, Kristin.
Q. And do you reside there?
A. Yes.
Q. Does -- do -- is any of that land farmed?
A. I believe about two acres of it are by a neighbor farmer.
Q. Okay. But other than two acres, 3.5 are used just for your residence and lived on?
A. Yes.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Nothing further.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you, Mr.
Luetkehans. Questions for Mr. Rice from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals? Mr. Wax.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. WAX:
Q. How far is your residence from the turbine or
proposed turbine or substation?
A. One is going in just north of us within the one decibel danger zone noise. Basically, as I understand, as close as they can get it to us just to our north.

MR. WAX: Okay. Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Any other questions from the board from Mr. Rice? Questions for Mr. Rice from the members of units of local government including school districts? Questions for Mr. Rice from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

EXAMINATION BY
MR. JACOBI:
Q. Mr. Rice, thank you. I think I found your property on the map. Is there a barn and a shed or a garage on the north side?
A. Yes. That is our shed to the north there.
Q. What's that shed used for?
A. It's storage space mostly. Yes.
Q. Do you put vehicles in it?
A. A camper trailer. We have some cats in there.
Q. A tractor?
A. No.
Q. Lawn mower?
A. Lawn mower right now.
Q. Does the farmer use it at all?
A. He does not use it.

MR. JACOBI: Okay. Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Questions for Mr. Rice from any
other licensed attorneys in the room? Questions for Mr. Rice from other interested parties, those being persons in support of or neutral on the application? Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants? Anything further, Mr. Leutkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No, sir.
MR. KAINS: Mr. Rice, if you want to comment for a few minutes, you certainly have that right.

MR. RICE: I don't think I do.
MR. KAINS: Thank you so much for your participation, sir. Mr. Leutkehans -- yes, sir?

UNKNOWN AUDIENCE MEMBER: Could we have people talk a little louder? We're having a hard time hearing, especially against that thing back here, if you would, please.

MR. KAINS: Okay. The comment back there was everybody needs to speak up just a little louder so folks in the back can hear.

MR. LUETKEHANS: At this time, we have a
couple objector's exhibits we would submit into
evidence. The first one is Objector's Exhibit No. 1. For the record, this is just a Piatt Comprehensive Plan. We'll also submit into evidence Objector's Exhibit No. 11. The other night we were --

MR. KAINS: -- Mr. Luetkehans, could you use the microphone, please.

MR. LUETKEHANS: All right. The other night, Mr. Gershon put in a transcript of testimony from Dr. Schomer and we also put in a separate transcript of testimony from Dr. Schomer at this time as Objector's Exhibit 11. For the record, it's of January 24, 2018 transcript under oath from McLean County Zoning Board meeting.

MR. KAINS: Thank you.
MR. LUETKEHANS: We have no further evidence to enter. I know earlier today the hearing officer asked that at least to the extent we could, try and provide a list of those who are here that wish to provide public comment and/or testimony. I don't know who wants to do what, but $I$ know we have a few names that are definitely here that we'd like to get started and let them go. If it's acceptable, Cindy Ihrke, I-H-R-K-E, she signed in tonight.

MR. KAINS: Yes. If you want her to go, I don't see her on the list.

MR. LUETKEHANS: I think she signed in after
the beginning of the hearing.
MR. KAINS: Okay. Very good.
MR. LUETKEHANS: I think she got here around
6:15.
MS. IHRKE: I did.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Could you please -are you going to testify and give evidence or are you just going to make a public comment?

MS. IHRKE: I think just a public comment, if that's okay.

MR. KAINS: Yes, ma'am.
MR. JACOBI: Before we start, can I just
clarify, is the objector's evidence then closed?
MR. LUETKEHANS: Yes. We closed.
MR. KAINS: Okay. Thank you for that point of
clarification.
MR. LUETKEHANS: At least I did. I can't
promise you anybody else, but I did.
MR. JACOBI: As long as Mr. Leutkehans is
closed.
MR. LUETKEHANS: Yes. I have. Thank you.

MR. KAINS: Very good. Ma'am, could you please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.

COMMENTS BY MS. IHRKE:

MS. IHRKE: Sure. My name is Cindy Ihrke, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{I}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{E} . \quad \mathrm{I}$ am here from Ford County. I am a member of the Ford County Board and I'm also on the zoning committee there. I am here tonight from a personal perspective. I just thought I'd come up and give you guys some firsthand information on Ford County, what we have experienced there. We have three working wind farms in our county. The first one went into operation in, I think, 2011. The second one was 2018 , I believe. Our board there has received a multitude of complaints throughout the year -- years of those in operation ranging from noise complaints to television reception complaints. We learned after the second project went online, a lot of people showed up with complaints. We learned that as a board we didn't have very good options to help these people in our ordinance with the remedy section. So we did our best to try and help people in that we had meetings with a company, representatives from the company called EDF. It was a interesting meeting because we learned that the people
-- that the people that are here getting the permits and doing the -- getting people to sign contracts are a completely different team of people than what who are actually building and operating the wind farms. So there is a disconnect there. So their advice to us was that anything that you really wanted to make sure got done, needed to be in your ordinance because that is what the people that are building it go off of. So it's really important for you all as a group when you're doing your deliberations to take that -- keep that in mind that the rules that are in that ordinance, that's what they're going to be looking at when they build. Apex came to, well, they held the permit in our county for eleven years. They bought that -- the project was permanent under BP. They bought the project from BP Energy. They never built it, they just kept getting extensions. So our county went ahead after we had the incident with EDF and all the complaints and we realized that we didn't have teeth in our ordinance. We opened our ordinance up and updated it to all the new information. So we had all the hearings like you guys did. We got findings of fact and all the evidence. So we have increased our ordinance. We kept our height restrictions at 500 feet. That was something that the
board wished to do. But Apex recently did move forward and they did build the project. There have been numerous issues with the roads. Our county highway engineer dealt -- although he didn't have a lot of county roads in the project, the road commissioner looked to our county engineer for help in dealing with all of this because the road commissioner was inexperienced. They'd never had to deal with things like this before.

MR. KAINS: Ms. Ihrke, your time is about up. You can have another 30 seconds just to address board, please.

MS. IHRKE: Thank you. So to wrap it up, they ended up settling. Our road commissioners ended up having to do all of the repair work, the finish work by themselves. We also had a recent -- we found out that our supervisor of assessments office did not get their pin numbers on the turbines because the project has sold and for whatever reason in the sale, they lost the -the people that were supposed to do the pin numbers didn't do them. So there was a delay in getting our assessments up to date for our board to use for levying things because that got kind of lost in the mix. My point is, I wanted to hopefully come and let you guys
know that, although everything sounds like it's going to move smoothly, there are pitfalls. So please be aware of that and when you do your deliberations. Take those into consideration. Thank you.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, ma'am.
MS. IHRKE: Thank you very much.
MR. LUETKEHANS: I think the next one is Emily Latz, L-A-T-Z.

MR. KAINS: Ms. Lattz, will you be testifying, offering evidence or do you just want to make a public comment?

MS. LATTZ: I'll be testifying.
MR. KAINS: Yes, ma'am. Could you please raise your right hand and be sworn.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Can you spell your first and last names for the record.
A. Sure. My name is Emily, E-M-I-L-Y, Lattz, L-A-T-T-Z.
Q. Very good. Where do you reside?
A. My address is 414 East 800 North Road, Gibson City, Illinois.
Q. And what county does your residence lie?
A. Ford County.
Q. In Ford County. All right. Then under the rules, she has 15 minutes to testify and offer evidence. You may proceed.

COMMENTS BY MS. LATTZ:

MS. LATTZ: I just thought that being someone who is living this currently, I could offer some things that maybe your board would like to know. I am not actually considered part of the wind farm because I never signed an agreement for compensation of any sort. However, with that being -- especially the rural folks would understand this -- at the one mile section, there is a wind turbine about in the middle of our one mile section that is close to my property that I did not -- I am not a consenting party. Some of the things I can tell you that $I$ think is interesting is that $I$ heard someone complained back here about this very quiet furnace you have back here making noise. Because I kind of want to know how many people are bothered by that or aren't. I mean, it is an annoying thing to have and we have that out by where we are now with these wind turbines even though I didn't want them. Anything that's in the ordinance, they're going -- you have to
have that ordinance so airtight because if you don't have it spelled out, it doesn't matter what they promise you. It won't happen. In fact, right now, we were told that those red flashing lights would go off if there was air traffic -- if there wasn't air traffic in the area. And I've never seen them go off since they came on. So we -- I can't take a picture of my house anywhere because it looks like it's on fire at night because of all the red lights around us. You can't go out -- if I'm driving to my house which is an old historic home built in 1909 and it looks like I've got birthday candles sticking out of it everywhere as you drive up because of all the wind turbines around and ours are just under 500 feet. Again, they're not -- they're still outside of the allowed -- restricted area from my property because I did not sign, but they're still on our section. Then I can tell you that while some of the older people may not hear them quite as much, my kids which range in age -- I have four children ranging between ages 14 and 21. They all hear them. And they can tell me that there's this different hum from when it starts and kicks on until when it's going at a higher speed. We also do have livestock. I do not have shadow flicker on my house. That's not allowed in Ford County,
but we do have it in the field and we will see it on 47 as you're driving back and forth. I work at a bar in Sibley where I bar tend and I can tell you there have been many people up there that are upset about it even afterwards that didn't know when they should come forward, didn't know when they should talk, didn't know what they could do, didn't know who was supposed to be representing all of them, and have been very upset by it after the fact. The people that are coming for these jobs are not going to be your neighbors down the street. They're people from Michigan, they're people from Wisconsin, they're people from Southern Illinois. Even the dirt workers are from other parts of the state. It's not -- the wind turbine people are coming -they're sending crane operators from Wisconsin and Michigan and other places. So this isn't exactly what you might think it's going to be and once it's there, you can't get rid of it. So you're stuck with whatever you don't have in your ordinance and anyone who doesn't want it who, I mean, like, we've lived there over 20 years and this is all of our hardworking income that my husband and I can put together to have 20 acres and a house for our four kids. Now if we want to sell it, we have wind turbines all around us. Who wants to buy a
really nice farm in the middle of a wind farm? So just things I think you guys should consider because the money is a diminishing amount of money that you get over the course of the contract. Inflation will continue to rise. That's not all the benefit you might think it to be either and you're going to have continuous upkeep and issues with things going forward. So I'm hoping that you also have your road commissioners look at other ordinances in the area or talk to other road commissioners who have been through this because that is another issue and the traffic and it will be ongoing for some time. People, whether they sign it or not, are going to be affected. That's all.

MR. KAINS: Mr. Luetkehans, do you have any questions for this witness?

MR. LUETKEHANS: Just a couple clarifications. EXAMINATION BY

MR. LUETKEHANS:
Q. The wind farm you live in was the one built in, like, the last two years?
A. Yes. It is -- it was under the old ordinance of Ford County. Now they're doing the new one.
Q. And that was built by Apex?
A. Yes. It was and it was sold immediately.
Q. Okay. And you said that the lights are always on. Do you mean the aircraft lighting detection system is not working, it's just going on -- it's blinking on and off the entire time?
A. Correct. They initially told us they were waiting for some sort of, like, internet service through fiber optics or something. I'm sure I'm butchering that, but they were waiting for something else and it's never happened. So ever since, it's continuously been on.
Q. So you were told there was going to be an aircraft lighting detection system?
A. Yes. We were. We were told that it would go off if there was not an aircraft in the immediate area.
Q. Do you know if the Ford County Ordinance requires that?
A. I don't think our old ordinance required it. I think our new one did. I think that was part of the agreement with Sibley and the area that it's in because these wind turbines are in between Gibson and Sibley. They are north of Gibson so they affect the Sibley community more than they do Gibson.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Okay. I have nothing
further. Thank you. Thank you for coming in, Ms.

Lattz.

MR. KAINS: Okay. Ms. Lattz, hang on just a second. Questions for this witness from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals? Mr. Larson. Turn the microphone down to him.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. LARSON:
Q. You say --

MR. KAINS: -- Mr. Larson, you're just going to have to speak up a little.
Q. So to be clear, if your house hadn't decreased in value and the wind turbines were still there and if you could get what it's worth, you would move because of them?
A. Yes. We do not like them and we would be moving. We -- my husband's job affects that issue as well, but, yes, we are not happy with them. We weren't happy with them -- we didn't want them going in before, but, yes, we are concerned about selling our property now.

MR. LARSON: Okay. Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Any other questions for this witness by the board?

EXAMINATION BY
MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. You mentioned you were in a section where there was a wind turbine?
A. Yes.
Q. Right? So you're with -- you're inside of a mile from it; is that accurate?
A. Yes. Oh, yes. I would say I am about a half a mile from it or less.
Q. Is there only one turbine that close or do you have adjacent properties around you with turbines?
A. There's only one in the section that $I$ am in. We are the farthest south section -- I take that back. There's one several miles down the road that is on the south side of 800 . But otherwise in this section, there is one in the middle and there are multiple houses in this section. So there is one about halfway up on the west, then there are two on the south, there are two on the east of this same section.
Q. Those are homes?
A. With acreage around them. Yes.
Q. I gotcha. Very good. Thank you.
A. Yes.

MR. KAINS: Any other questions for Ms. Lattz
from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals? Questions for this witness from members of units of local government including school districts? Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: No thank you.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you. Questions for this witness from any other licensed attorneys in the room? Questions from other interested parties, persons in support of the application or neutral on the application? Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants? Any last questions from the zoning board? Ms. Lattz, thank you for your time and your testimony. Anybody else on your special list, Mr. Leutkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: Kayla Gallagher. Ms.
Gallagher is going to testify.
MR. KAINS: Okay. Very good. Could you please raise your right hand and be sworn.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Could you please spell your first and last names for the court reporter.
A. Kayla, K-A-Y-L-A. Gallagher,
$\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}$.
Q. All right. Are you wishing to give public comment or are you wishing to testify?
A. Testify.
Q. Then you will have 45-minutes, assuming you're a Piatt County residence. And I think you are.
A. Yes. I am.
Q. I guess for the record, Ms. Gallagher, could you please state your address and whether that community is in Piatt County?
A. 2399 North 1000 East Road in Mansfield. It's in Piatt County.

MR. KAINS: Very good. You may go right ahead.

COMMENTS BY MS. GALLAGHER:
MS. GALLAGHER: Let me begin by telling you a little bit about the Gallagher's. Our roots run deep in Piatt County. In fact, we've been living and farming here for generations. We put beef on many of your tables that we raised just north of town. We pride ourselves on being stewards of the land and are proud of the conservation efforts that we use on our farm like no tilling, pollinator plots, split applying nitrogen, incorporating cover crops and the list goes on. We're
even incorporating regenerative ag practices by using aerial application of cover crops over a standing cash crop allowing our cattle to graze those covers, then utilizing the manure they produce in our nutrient management plan. We are not climate change deniers, nor are we opposed to renewable energy. We are engaged and comitted to being good neighbors and friends. You probably haven't heard us tout our community involvement before and you probably won't hear us talk about it again outside of this room. We are engaged in and support our community in many ways from donating meat for fundraising raffles for the Monticello Rotary club, the Monticello Christian Academy, the Blue Ridge FFA who has one of the best agriculture FFA programs in the entire State of Illinois. We make financial contributions to community activities like the Farmer City Heritage Days, the Mansfield Homecoming and the Blue Ridge Yearbook Committee. We invite your children into our home, literally, 20 -something kids into our actual house once a month to learn more about agriculture through our two 4-H Clubs. One is for five to seven year-olds and one for eight to eighteen year-olds. We invite your children to our farm to learn more about livestock production through our vet
science clinics for kids where we only charge for supplies, not for profit. We invite you and your families to tour our calving barn to learn more about livestock and our family's farming operation during our calving season free of charge. We don't only invest our money in our community, we also invest our time and energy. Contrary to what you've heard during these hearings so far, there are local businesses who are engaged and invested into doing what's right for our community who are still very much opposed to this project. There are several reasons that Apex fails to meet their requirements of the WECS ordinance and their application, but I am sure Mr. Luetkehans will do a great job at covering those during his closing argument. In an effort to limit the redundancy of comments that you'll hear from the public tonight, I've chosen simply to give you comments on topics that $I$ don't anticipate many other members of the public will touch on. These comments are not a comprehensive overview of all the reasons that $I$ oppose this project. First, I'm going to start with a few brief comments on land use change which we all know is one of the primary reasons that zoning exists. Wind developers like to come to counties like ours, make claims about the minimal land use change
impacts associated with their projects, but I would argue that we really need to take a look at the bigger picture when it comes to land use change. Dr. Randy Pearson and Joshua Pritsolas from the Geospatial Mapping and Application and Research Center at Southern Illinois University, Edwardsville, gave me written permission to submit their research to you during these hearings. There is research you can follow and I won't speak much about it since it's not mine. Piatt County -- do you want this?

MR. LUETKEHANS: I will take that.
MR. KAINS: Mr. Leutkehans, what is this document?

MR. LUETKEHANS: You know what, I'm about to -- it's called, "Updated Wind Turbine Assessment Across the Midwest and in Illinois." If it's acceptable, I will put this as Kayla Gallagher Exhibit No. 1?

MR. KAINS: Yes.
MR. LUETKEHANS: Well, write on -- I'll let Andrew do that.

MR. KAINS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Luetkehans. Ms. Gallagher, you may proceed with your testimony.

MS. GALLAGHER: Piatt County is unique in that we consistently top, not just the state, but the entire
nation in corn and soy bean production per acre. We farm on some of the most productive soil in the world. So I'll ask you to consider whether or not it makes sense to continue putting additional wind turbines on prime farm ground when we know we can't make up that production on the same amount of land anywhere else. You don't need reminding, but I'm going to remind you anyway. The purpose of the WECS ordinance is to (1) Assure that any development or production of wind generated electricity in Piatt County is safe and effective; and (2) To facility economic opportunities for local residents. I now want to direct your attention to Appendix $A$ of Apex's application where you will find the landowner contact information signature document. I reviewed this document and found that most of these participating landowners do not live in Piatt County. I want to make sure that everyone understands that the farmers who do farm this ground probably have some kind of cash rent or lease agreement set up with the absentee renters you see on this list. To be clear, their hands are tied. Even though they live here, they can't speak out in opposition to this project without fear that they will lose the lease agreement on the piece of ground owned by a person on this list. Now
that we know that the majority of these participating landowners don't live in Piatt County, then the WECS ordinance was not put in place for their benefit. Let's consider how actual Piatt County residents will be impacted: (1) Farmers within the project area will either lose the ability to do aerial applications on their crops or be forced to pay up to a 50 percent premium for aerial applications within the project area. Unfortunately, it's not quite as simple as just switching over to a ground rig. Timeliness of applications is often very important and it's not always feasible to get a ground rig through a field due to the height of your crop or because of wet field conditions. We rely heavily on aerial applications. We'll face increased costs even on fields that don't have turbines, but happen to be in close proximity to one. (2) We know that according to the Agriculture Impact Mitigation Agreement or AMA, the landowner should be compensated for things like crop loss due to flooding after tile damage caused from trucking a new crane over the ground, et cetera. However, AMA only provides this protection for two years. Farmers and drainage experts would agree that it often takes at least two years for these impacts to be seen upstream from the actual site of the damage.

Apex might think it will take care of damage, but $I$ haven't seen anything in writing that protects non-participating landowners from potential crop loss associated with downstream drainage system failure. I also know that Apex claims to have changed their ways and plans to own and operate this project in Piatt County, but there is absolutely no guarantee of that. So any unwritten promises on this issue don't hold very much weight for me. (3) My husband and I own and operate an excavation and demolition business. In our professional opinion, the cost for decommissioning that Apex presented to you are grossly underestimated. The zoning board can't rule out the possibility that better, more reliable and affordable technology will come on line in the next 20 to 30 years that will make these turbines obsolete. In fact, I am guessing you'll hear about some of these exciting potential technologies from other members of the public before these hearings conclude. The future possible decommissioning should be a very real concern for this committee and county board. The fact is, this decommissioning plan that Apex submitted is inadequate. (4) The most recent Illinois energy legislation, the Climate and Equitable Jobs Act, requires that Ameren purchase 40 percent of their power
from solar and wind by a certain date. This is a mandate that impacts the competitive marketplace for electricity and gives solar and wind a definitive advantage over other sources of power that are more reliable and affordable. If Apex is coming to communities like ours and investing huge sums of money in these wind projects, what will the financial impacts be to the people who live here and are actually paying an Ameren electricity bill? I would argue that we should expect to increase our personal budgets for electricity. (5) We heard from Mr. Kielisch about how our property values will face a definite measurable loss of value within the project area. We heard two conflicting testimonies on this issue, but for what it's worth, I can you that if $I$ were in the market for a new home, I would not choose to buy a residential property in the middle of a wind farm. (6) We, like many other rural Piatt County residents, use a fixed wireless broadband service for internet. I personally rely on this service to regularly work remotely for my full-time job in addition to running the day-to-day operations of our farm. If you refer to pages 24 and 25 of Report No. 2 of Appendix $F$ of Apex's application, you will see that, "Evans Engineering Solutions cannot do a
comprehensive analysis on fixed broadband providers like ours since they did not know subscriber locations at the time of the report." It should also be noted that Evans Engineering Solutions stated that, "There is no doubt that our F signals that cross the wind farm do interact with the turbines. The questions is whether or not the affects are significant enough to cause the expected quality of operations to be compromised." To be fair, Evans Engineering Solution goes on to say that they don't anticipate any issues arising in connection with this wind project, but there is no guarantee or agreement that broadband internet issues will be covered if they were to occur. (7) Can $I$ have a drink? MR. KAINS: Absolutely.

MS. GALLAGHER: After review of Apex's Sound Level Assessment Report we just discussed a second ago which is Report No. 5 in Apex's application, you will notice that, "Many Piatt County residents will experience perceptible increases in sound on their properties." I would argue that any perceptible increase in sound is too much. After all, most of us choose to live in rural Piatt County because it's peaceful, quiet and free from industrial noise. Finally, my last point is very personal and specific to
my property. One of my favorite things about where I live is that during certain times of the year, I can watch the sunset behind De Land's farmer's grain elevator which is almost five miles from my home as the crow flies. If you know where I live, I would invite you to check the project map at the back of the room to verify that are three proposed wind turbines in a straight line between my home and the De Land's farmer's grain. Now after reviewing all of the negative impacts to me financially, in addition to the fact that my personal enjoyment of property will be affected, the economic opportunities outlined in this proposal don't seem very attractive to me as a Piatt County landowner, farmer and residence. I want to thank the zoning board who has been engaged in this process from start to finish and the county board members who have been attending these hearings regularly and will use this information to make a final decision about this project. Finally, thank you to the Piatt County residents in attendance tonight. Before I conclude, I would like to ask that everyone who lives in Piatt County and is opposed to this project to stand up at this time. (All Audience Stands)

MS. GALLAGHER: Thank you. You can sit down.

I'm going to close with an audio clip from the Senator of the Illinois 51st District, Chapin Rose. If you live here and didn't know, Chapin is your state senator. He did this interview on November 23, 2022. If you've been following the progress of this proposed wind farm in Piatt County for as long as I have, Senator Rose's comments will probably sound very familiar and, in my view, how Apex has conducted themselves in Piatt County. We should ask ourselves if we really expect Apex to behave any differently during the construction phase and future phases of this project if it happens to be approved.

MR. KAINS: Ms. Gallagher, how long is the audio clip from Representative Rose?

MS. GALLAGHER: I think it's three minutes.
MR. KAINS: Shelly, will you be able to take this?

COURT REPORTER: I'll sure try. Yes.
MR. LUETKEHANS: We can also send it to her afterwards just so she makes sure she gets it correctly. I mean, I'm sure $I$ can e-mail it to her.

MR. KAINS: All right.
MR. LUETKEHANS: Because $I$ know it's next to
impossible.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you. That would be helpful.

MR. KAINS: All right. Mr. Luetkehans, if your client could make sure she gets it.

MR. LUETKEHANS: I will have her send it to me and give it to Shelly.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you, thank you.
MR. KAINS: Very good. All right. You can proceed.
(Playing Audio Clip to Audience)
"RADIO ANNOUNCER: All right. A penny for your thoughts. A couple minutes to go here with Chapin Rose. He does have to leave here. He's got to get to another meeting. We're pleased he was able to join us for this hour here the day before Thanksgiving. I asked Chapin about what he thinks about Apex putting all the wind mills in Piatt County. Then I'll walk outside my house and see one a mile and a half away. That's what $I$ want to look at the rest of my life. Apex.

CHAPIN ROSE: Yes. It's a wind mill company. So I have had about six of these projects -- this will be six, $I$ guess, go up in my district over time and of those, one of them has, I think, been a good steward of the land and resources and an actual understanding of
the community to talk to. The rest of them have been abject disasters. I mean, even down in Newman Illinois we had police called because one of the windmill company people was chasing a 12-year old girl down the street because she was daring to put up anti-windmill flyers, knocking doors against the windmill. You had some British guy chasing this kid down the street and she's so scared she calls the police. I mean, these folks generally come in, they're not from here, they're not from, in some cases, even the United States. They have no local ties and they treat these little communities like complete junk and they leave bodies in the street. There's always people that are happy, but I'll say this: Murdock Township had a vote on it to keep -- to take windmill or not take windmill -- it was 92 against 8 percent. Okay. 92 percent against. It's because of how the windmill company conduct themselves when talking with locals just like that gentleman said. Usually there are simple things that can be done in the case like the one -- the one who was sort of done right, they made accommodations of setbacks, they made accommodations of tower height and everything else and they kind of got the community to see, okay, we can live with this. And again, there's, you know, there's
property taxes going to local schools. But I've just seen them come in with, you know, more money than -they got more money than anybody else around to throw around. They got all the staff and all the things and they just sort of bull over these, you know, small towns and small communities and they leave bodies in the street. And, yes, that's being facetious, but, you know, that Douglas County one in Murdock tore that county in two. I mean -RADIO ANNOUNCER: (INAUDIBLE).

CHAPIN ROSE: Yes. Brother against brother. You know, one of them is getting -- gonna make a bunch of money and the other one isn't gonna get it and has to look at it and stare at it for the rest of his life and it's going to flicker into his house at night and keep him up. You know, it's just -- they're not -- that's why, look, again, Urbana, U of $I$ over in Urbana, what did they say, no, no, don't do that. It's always easier to put it in somebody else's backyard than your own back yard.

RADIO ANNOUNCER: Real quick here. A couple minutes to go. They have put up a bunch, a hundred plus, too many to count windmills north of Route 136 between Le Roy and Fisher, but I don't see any of them
turning. Why not?

CHAPIN ROSE: Again, this is the -- look folks, you know, to the folks who somehow believe that renewable is anytime soon gonna replace the baseline capacity of coal, right now, what's happening right now and he's dis-proven that hypothesis, okay, that's not going to happen. Could it happen 20 years from now? I don't know. It's not going to happen until batteries get better. But, you know, we're in a real problem panic mode now and $I$ would assert that losing any electronics on the grid, is only going to make things worse."

MR. KAINS: Ms. Gallagher, do you have any other statement to make?

MS. GALLAGHER: No.
MR. KAINS: Mr. Luetkehans, do you have any questions for Ms. Gallagher? MR. LUETKEHANS: No thank you. MR. KAINS: Very good. I am just going to state for the record with all due respect to Representative Rose, there is no evidence of, in this particular county, in this particular application, of anybody chasing a 12-year old girl around. The references to companies leaving "bodies in the street,"
these are to be disregarded as not credible evidence by this board and should not be considered. If there was evidence of this, we'd want to know about it. The inflammatory nature of the representative's comments, again, with all due respect to him, you know, have no part of a professional hearing in which this board is to make a decision regarding application. With that said, questions for Ms. Gallagher from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals?

MR. JACOBI: Mr. Hearing Officer.
MR. KAINS: Yes, sir.
MR. JACOBI: The statement actually went even further than that suggesting that companies have foreign people coming into town, you know, that they are splitting towns in two, pitting brother against brother. The whole statement was completely inflammatory and prejudicial. I'd ask that it be stricken from the record in it's entirety.

MR. KAINS: I am not going to do that because there is the possibility of workers coming from outside of Piatt County. There's been testimony to that effect. Whether they come from Wisconsin or Michigan, we won't know, but that can stay. With respect to families being pitted against one another, it's been my experience and
I've seen it in other hearings that this is a
possibility. So I am not going to strike that.
MR. JACOBI: I'm sorry, Mr. Hearing Officer,
but he didn't lay any foundation for that, he didn't
provide his own experience. I mean, it was an audio
clip from a radio show from a politician. I just don't
see how it should play any part in this hearing.
MR. KAINS: It's going to be allowed for what
it's worth. This board can consider the radio program
and the comments made by the representative, but will
give it it's due. And again, folks, there has to be
evidence that shows these things are happening or have
happened. I am not going to strike it however. Just
the comment about "chasing a 12-year old girl" and
"leaving bodies in the street." Those are stricken.
MR. JACOBI: One more. It is also hearsay and
it is not under oath. It wasn't even -- it's not a
credentialed article or a peer reviewed journal -- and I
just want to make my record. I know that you have
ruled, but in addition, you know, the statement was
objectionable for those reasons. I just want to make
sure those objections are on the record.
MR. KAINS: Yes. Mr. Jacobi, those objections
are noted. They are in the record should there be an
appeal over this. Then, yes, you can take that up on appeal. However, yes, and it was not sworn testimony. It was a politician on a radio show. So this board will consider it for what's it worth. All right.

MR. JACOBI: Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Questions for Ms. Gallagher from members of Zoning Board of Appeals? Mr. Harrington.

## EXAMINATION BY

MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. Thank you for your testimony, Ms. Gallagher. How close -- I can take a guess here, but you probably know better -- how close is the closest proposed windmill to your property here?
A. Now I can't tell you. I haven't got any answer exactly. There are two proposed to the northeast that you'll see on the map and then there are several to the west.
Q. It would appear -- I agree with you -- it would appear there is a transmission line relatively close to you, is that correct, underground?
A. Currently?
Q. No. Proposed. Which I know is vague because they haven't really settled on that yet?
A. I don't know exactly how close that is either.

No.
Q. I gotcha. I didn't know if that had been discussed or not, but do you have any other concerns in regards to those closest proposed sites; is there anything else you would want to tell us about that?
A. I think that Dylan, my husband, testified earlier on what receptor we are. We're Receptor No. 120 and our concerns about sound as I mentioned in my comments. I know my comments are pretty reflective of my concerns.
Q. Very good. Thank you for you efforts. MR. KAINS: Any questions, additional questions from members of the zoning board? Questions from members of units of local government including school districts? Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: Thank you.
EXAMINATION BY
MR. JACOBI:
Q. Thank you, Ms. Gallagher. We looked at Objector's Exhibit 40, the Gallagher map? What number was it?

MR. LUETKEHANS: Yes. It's 40.
MR. JACOBI: Do you mind showing me that?

That's fine.
MR. LUETKEHANS: I am giving my copy to her.
MR. JACOBI: That's fine.
Q. There's something I forgot to ask Mr.

Gallagher earlier, the property to the east, the darker green strip of land, what is that land used for?
A. That's hay.
Q. And the property to the north, that's crop?
A. That's not ours, but, yes, it is.
Q. The hay is your property?
A. Straight east of the house. Yes.
Q. How does the hay field -- strike that. How close is the edge of the hay fields to your residence?
A. Like a measurement to the house, I have no idea. I would have to measure it.
Q. Okay.
A. It is right there at that fence on the -- you can tell the distinction between the yards and the hay field there.
Q. It's, like, a sixteenth of a mile?
A. I don't know. I would have to measure.
Q. What about the crop to the north? Looks like and eighth of a mile maybe to your house?
A. I have no idea.

UNKNOWN AUDIENCE MEMBER: None of that is correct.
Q. I'm just asking.
A. No. That's not correct. I don't know an exact number for you.
Q. Okay. What's your best guess then to the fields to the farm?
A. It's less than an eighth of a mile.
Q. Okay. You testified earlier about the decommissioning plan?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you draft your own decommissioning plan?
A. No.

MR. JACOBI: No further questions. Thank you. MR. KAINS: Thank you, Mr. Jacobi. Questions for Ms. Gallagher from any other licensed attorneys in the room? Questions from other interested parties, members of public in support of the application or neutral on the application for special use permit? Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants? Mr. Luetkehans, any other questions for Ms. Gallagher? MR. LUETKEHANS: Just one. EXAMINATION BY MR. LUETKEHANS:
Q. The property to the east, just to clarify what your husband said, that was a different pin number than the property that you live on, correct?
A. Yes. It's a completely different parcel.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Okay. Nothing further.
MR. KAINS: Based upon, that, Mr. Jacobi? MR. JACOBI: No.

MR. KAINS: Any questions from, final questions, for Ms. Gallagher from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals? Very good. Ms. Gallagher, is there anything else that you would like to add?

MS. GALLAGHER: No. Other than I can appreciate why those comments were restricted. I just think that Senator Chapin Rose is our state senator and does have personal experience with these companies and that was purely the reason for playing that. Nothing else.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, ma'am. Mr. Leutkehans, do you have any other folks you want to push ahead?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No. I think whoever is on the list from tonight.

MR. KAINS: All right. I've got a list from when folks came into the room. We are going to hear from Mr. Terry Ferguson. I feel like I know Mr.

Ferguson quite well from doing two public hearings in his county, De Witt.

MR. FERGUSON: Yes. I've got some documents here $I$ want to leave.

MR. KAINS: We will mark -- do you have one or two?

MR. FERGUSON: I have two. I've got enough for everybody.

MR. KAINS: All right. They will being marked as Ferguson Exhibit 1 and 2. All right.

MR. JACOBI: Do you have a copy for me there?
MR. KAINS: Oh, I'm sorry.
MR. LUETKEHANS: I don't have one either.
MR. KAINS: Let's get a copy to Mr. Jacobi and maybe even one to Mr. Leutkehans. Now Mr. Ferguson, are you wishing to testify?

MR. FERGUSON: Yes, sir.

MR. KAINS: You're a non-county person so 15 minutes.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. All right. Sir, could you please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.
A. Terry Ferguson. T-E-R-R-Y, F-E-R-G-U-S-O-N.
Q. All right. Mr. Ferguson, you have 15 minutes. COMMENTS BY MR. FERGUSON:

MR. FERGUSON: Okay. I'm vice-chairman of the De Witt County Board and past Land Use Chairman in the De Witt County Board and we recently, well, three years ago, two and a half years ago, went through this same process you guys are going through. I have been involved with zoning ever since zoning came into De Witt County. I was an original member of the regional planning commission and have been off and on that several times through the 40 -some years and I have served as a Land Use Chairman for the De Witt County Board multiple times. But I want to make it clear. I know you guys are going to hear from the attorney here on what the job of the zoning office, the ZBA, is and, you know, it's pretty simple. Zoning is something put in place to keep me from doing something on my property that's gonna mess with you on your property. And I was noticing the map over there on the wall. It looks like it's about 40 percent of that footprint of the proposed wind farm with the -- made up of non-participants. And there's some things that the ZBA and the county board can do to soften the blow on those non-participating
people. You will notice that I passed out a couple documents here. One was what I originally proposed to the county board as conditions for the special use permit. The other one is the one that was passed. I'll just highlight what $I$ feel --

MR. LUETKEHANS: -- I don't mean to interrupt. MR. FERGUSON: Yes, sir.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Could we get it clear whether Mr. Ferguson is for or against this project?

MR. FERGUSON: I'm against. I'm speaking against.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Okay. Thank you.
MR. FERGUSON: I guess -- I don't know. It's kind of hard to say. But $I$ was the lead in the county to try to get some control on the proposed wind farm. And, you know, it was discussed by the other young lady that there was a wind farm in Gibson City that was supposed to have the ADLS lighting, but it didn't occur. We put it in place and our ordinance that was required to apply to the FAA to get the ADLS lighting. Then as the condition detailed when it was going to be put -installed and when it was going to be operated. I think that was probably one of the most -- biggest objections you will ever hear from the community, the surrounding
community, the non-participating residents, those stupid red flashing lights that go on forever. There is a way to stop that. I know if you're going to have 600-foot tall towers here, you're going to have two lights on each tower and that's different than most of the towers in the state. But, you know, I -- another item, you know, it seems that the non-participating people are pretty well ignored and we tried to put something in place in De Witt County and it was worded probably wrong. It was that the one company had to offer the non-participating people a lease to cover the cost of payment per acre, a payment for occupied residents. And the problem of that was, the people that are opposed to a wind farm are not going to sign a lease for anything, even if it involved giving them money. So if you guys are going have some kind of a condition to make a payment to the non-participating folks as a nuisance payment or whatever, you gotta make sure you word it right from the get-go. I just want to make the statement that the county board and the ZBA can make all kinds of recommendations to the county board to -- for things -- I don't know how to put it. You know, you've got your ordinance, but you guys can make recommendations on the conditions that can go over and
above what's written in the ordinance. So keep that in mind and do your job. You know, your job is to protect the landowners in the county. You know, the La Salle ruling for zoning, there's six criteria that have to be met before a special use permit can be granted. The two most important ones are that you're not going to do anything that will devalue the neighbor's property or reduce their ability to enjoy their property. And I might have a little anecdotal notice. We held a meeting, an informational meeting, with some of the people that were discussing the noise from the wind mills and it all came about and the specialist said, you know, it's only going to be about like a small window air conditioner at your home. And the -- my comment to him was, so if you've got a real nice patio and you're having a wedding reception for your daughter, would you care if $I$ show up with an air conditioner and flop it down on the head table and, you know, his comment was no, no, I wouldn't like that. So just keep that in mind, but another one of the conditions we put on dealt with noise. The Illinois Pollution Control Board controls the noise level and it was modeled by the wind company at the residence. Several of the houses were barely within standards through all the frequencies.

There was one there that was above the levels that are supported by the Illinois Pollution Control Board. But we put in that that they had to do a sound study to prove that their model was correct. But when they did that, the consulting firm that worked with us on it -they haven't done it yet, you know, it's not operational -- but the sound study was done at the residence, but the Illinois Pollution Control Board requires that the noise level be at the property line. So there's several houses that, you know, it might be a couple hundred feet from the property line as being farther away from the wind tower than what the property line is. So, you know, there's -- you just got to watch the way you word everything. And with that, that's all I've got.

MR. KAINS: Thank you Mr. Ferguson. Questions for Mr. Ferguson from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals?

MR. FERGUSON: De Witt County. Oh, I'm sorry. MR. KAINS: For these folks.

MR. FERGUSON: Yes.
MR. KAINS: For the De Witt County guy. MR. FERGUSON: Sorry. Yes.

MR. KAINS: For our neighbor to the west.
Questions for Mr. Ferguson from members of units of
local government including school districts? Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: Thank you. No questions.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you. Questions
from any other licensed attorneys in the room?
Questions from other interested parties or persons in support of the application or neutral on the application for special use permit? Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants? Mr. Leutkehans, do you have any questions for Mr. Ferguson?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No. When you're done, I just have a question for Mr. Keyt.

MR. KAINS: Okay. Very good. Any last questions from the zoning board? Mr. Ferguson, thank you.

MR. FERGUSON: Thank you for the opportunity.
MR. KAINS: Thank you.
MR. LUETKEHANS: If I could just ask Mr. Keyt from -- what is -- I'm trying to figure out which one we're labeling what?

MR. KAINS: Yes.
MR. KEYT: Well, I'll try and keep my voice up. So I marked as Ferguson Exhibit 1, is the one that
has the bold type at the time top that starts with
Conditions for Special Use 250-21019, All for Farms and Ferguson Exhibit 2 is the one that is marked with the un-bolded type at the top and it says, Terry's Proposal for Conditions listed in the attachment $S$ of the SUP.

MR. LUETKEHANS: Thank you. I just wanted to make sure I had it clear.

MR. KEYT: You're welcome.
MR. KAINS: All right. I'm going to knock this whole thing over so Kenny is going to sue me. All right. Folks, it is time for a brief recess. The time is 7:38. Shelly, ten minutes or fifteen?

COURT REPORTER: Ten is fine.
MR. KAINS: Ten is fine. You're great. We
will back in session at 7:48. This board is in recess. (Whereupon, Recess Taken) MR. KAINS: Okay. Folks, find your seats, please. Find your seats. All right. Our next witness is Claudia Coil. Ms. Coil, will you be testifying and asking for 45 minutes or do you just want to make a public comment? MS. COIL: It's gonna be over three minutes so. MR. KAINS: Okay. All right. You need to
raise your right hand and be sworn.
EXAMINATION BY
MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Okay. Ma'am, could you please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.
A. Okay. My name is Claudia Coil, C-L-A-U-D-I-A, C-O-I-L.
Q. And where do you live?
A. I live at 2692 North 1300 East, Mansfield.
Q. And is that in Piatt County?
A. Yes.

MR. KAINS: Therefore, you have 45 minutes under the board rules. You may proceed.

COMMENTS BY MS. COIL:
MS. COIL: Okay. Thank you for allowing us to speak tonight. I think it's extremely important that you hear what the opposition has to say and to hear it clearly. I am not speaking as an expert on wind turbines. I am somewhat of an expert, I think, since I've lived in America since 1974 and have been -- we have farmed, dairy farmed, hogs, harness horses and in the last ten years we have raised on our home in Piatt County, free range chickens. We have 1000 free range
chickens that we sold eggs to numerous places in the area. So I'll just begin what I'm going to say. Also there will be -- right now there are two proposed turbines approximately a mile south of us and one west of us. This project has been mistakenly referred to as a wind farm. Wind farm gives the impression of something that blends and flows with real farms and rural America. One definition of farm is an area of land and it's buildings used to grow crops and for the rearing of animals. What Apex proposes for Piatt County does the opposite of blending and flowing with rural America. What they propose eliminates and damages productive farmland and potentially harms animals. What Apex proposes for Piatt County are not windmills, but industrial wind turbines. This is truly the story of David and Goliath. Goliath, Apex, has come to Piatt County uninvited representing a large corporation which is well funded by tax dollars, tax credits or whatever linguistic description one wants by lobbyists and their government energy policy that is not supported by all citizens. Apex has no long-term personal interest in Piatt County. Each employee of Apex will return to their own homes in places like Virginia or Minnesota or moving on to their next project. They, themselves, will
not be living with the results this project. We, the residents of Piatt County, have been doing our best to work our farms, businesses and jobs to provide for our families while Apex, unbeknownst to most residents, has been having people sign 30 -year leases, mostly by non-residents, and promising an economic windfall for the county. As most people seem to be dispensable or collateral damage in this process, we were never asked if this was something we want for our home. It is true that reports of this project were available in the Piatt County Journal, but as one of our board members pointed out at a past meeting, this paper is not read by many residents in the county and many are not informed about the project. These people, however, may have the opportunity to find out firsthand what similar -- about similar wind projects. If Apex's project is approved, the door will be open for industrial wind turbines throughout Piatt. The goal of Apex seems to differ completely from the goals of many residents. Apex wants financial success in their company and preservation of their jobs. Those of us opposing the project want preservation of our homes and lives, preservation of our environment, preservation of our soil, preservation of an area that will attract future generations and
preservation of our health. The vision for our children and grandchildren is of open spaces of productive land that will provide for them, not for industrial wind turbines which will be a reminder of a possibly failed energy policy or a defunct technology. This has never been an issue of resisting all change. It has been an issue of slowing this process down to provide an environmentally sound reliable energy to better innovation and technology. Reliable energy leads to a safer, more secure and prosperous world, county and country. It's seemingly irresponsible to make Piatt County an industrial wasteland in order to produce a non-reliable source of energy that creates an enormous carbon footprint in it's manufacture, transportation construction and maintenance of it's turbines and transmission lines. This hearing failed to address this or what happens to non-biodegradable and potentially toxic blades and turbines when they erode or are terminated. Whose responsibility should it be to dispose of used turbines and parts? Wind energy is presented as a totally benign form of energy. Nobody addressed things like the potential environmental damage that can be caused in the mining of rare earth in places like China or even the USA. No one addressed findings
of potential toxic BPA found in the turbine blade epoxy and plastics. One of the purposes of these hearings is to determine if Apex has met the standard set by Piatt County for this project. This seems to imply that Piatt County set up standards independent of Apex; however, Apex participated and had significant input on approved ordinances. Many of same experts from Apex testified at the ZBA hearings. When the ordinances met the parameters required by Apex, they were approved. Requests were made by citizens for safer setbacks, shorter turbines, no shadow flicker of participating residents and reduced noise, but were dismissed on the grounds that these changes would prevent Apex or another wind company from proceeding with their project. The concerns for potential infrastructure sound harm were not addressed in the ordinances. How does the wind industry totally dismiss research findings of researchers like Nina Pierpont who has studied what she describes as the "Wind Turbine Syndrome" or research of Eric Zou in 2020 that suggested an increase suicide rate from the industrial wind complex once it becomes operational. The plan for Apex hales the financial windfall that will occur with it's approval, but let's not mention how it will compensate for potential losses
due to the effects of the turbines. Agriculture losses from decreased crop yields from compacted soil, drainage disruption, potential livestock changes in reproduction or production, increased need for pesticides due to decreased bat population and increased cost of aerial applications. A bat population that is now listed on the endangered species list. How will residents be compensated if they experience health issues due to flicker, audible noise and infrasound? How will they compensate for loss of revenue when they try to sell their home or from lack of future growth to surrounding areas? I will have no -- I still have never met anyone who would want to live by a wind turbine. Who is really accountable in the wind industry? The answer to many questions of this hearing have been, "We have met the standards set by ZBA, DNR, Fish and Wildife and the Illinois Public Control Board -- Pollution Control Board." I propose these standards have not addressed adequately the problems that wind turbines create. Follow-up studies, if done, seem to be merely more studies. Many of the problems or complaints are never heard due to contracts lease owners and neighbors may have signed which prevent verbalizing them. One truth exists: Once the wind turbines are constructed, little
can be done. People, livestock and wildlife are out of luck. For those who indicate that change may be difficult, but people will adapt, I suggest that an overflowing healthcare system and mental health system indicates that humans haven't adapted well to an ever-growing stressful world. As a medical expert testified at his hearing, the only long-term study on the affects of wind turbines was done from 2012 to 2014 in Canada. This is hardly a long-term study. The study was done on adults only in an environment unlike Central Illinois. No one has even really studied the potential affects on children or pregnancy. Wildlife can adapt to reducing habitats goes against every environmental philosophy I've heard. For those who say livestock is not bothered have never watched milk or egg production drop from stress or inability to breed and produce healthy -- I'm a little dry -- offspring due to stress. Where does a livestock producer go? One must remember that the same energy policy that fuels the wind industry ultimately would like to eliminate livestock and animal products. It is the same energy policy that has increased fertilizer and agriculture fuel costs, but now wants to replace this with wind subsidized money. Do generous grants to conservation projects make up for the
environmental damage they create? If Apex sells to another company, who is accountable? It's not enough to say that other places have wind turbines or this will eventually happen. It is time for communities to have the courage to say no. Since 2015, approximately 315 communities have said no. To the residents that feel that the revenue from this project is absolutely necessary for your schools, conservation and budgets, I say we have the power to improve all of these entities without the assistance of Apex. People are creative and hardworking. Good leadership and role models can make these things grow. Your children's education will blossom with your involvement. Test scores from Monticello recently have improved not because of Apex, but because of good leadership, teachers and parent involvement. In conclusion, I ask the zoning and county board members to weigh their upcoming decision carefully. This project does not seem to meet your usual criteria. This does compete with current land use. It does not promote the health, safety and general welfare for all citizens. Do you want to transform Piatt County for an energy source that is not even commercially viable on it's own? Drive up to Bellflower, McLean County, De Witt County as you ponder
this decision. Ask yourself if you're ready to commit Piatt County's future for 30 plus years to this project? Is this the vision you have for your home? Does it truly protect the welfare, health and safety of every resident? Remember: Quality of life is not for sale. Thank you.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Ms. Coil. Questions for Ms. Coil from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals? Very good. Questions for Ms. Coil from members of units of local government including school districts? Licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: Thank you, Ms. Coil, no. No questions.

MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you. Other interested parties, members of the public in support of or neutral on the application for special use permit? Questions for Ms. Coil from Piatt County staff and consultants? Mr. Leutkehans, do you have any questions?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you, Ms. Coil.
Brian Sebens, please. Mr. Sebens, do you wish to testify or just make a three-minute public comment?

MR. SEBENS: Just a three-minute public comment.

EXAMINATION BY

MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
MR. KAINS: Okay. Very good. Could you
please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.
A. Brian Sebens, $B-R-I-A-N, S-E-B-E-N-S$.

MR. KAINS: Very good. Go right ahead, Mr.
Sebens.

COMMENTS BY MR. SEBENS:

MR. SEBENS: Okay. We own a small two-acre white dot in the sea of green over there on that map. We have worked over 30 years on improving our place. I would never have considered starting there if we had known this was going to happen. I have seen nothing in these meetings about the wind farm that would benefit us. The lure of big money let's them in. We might be considered collateral damages for the greater good, but when others come they might get close to someone that you don't want and can't stop them from invading. Please think very hard on letting this get started in Piatt County. Thank you.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Mr. Seben's. I have on the sheet, it says Brian or Debbie Seben's. Ms.

Seben's, you're going to echo what he says?
DEBBIE SEBENS: Yes. Double.
MR. KAINS: Thank you. Mr. Bill Gallagher,
please. Shelly, are you going to need a spelling on his last name?

COURT REPORTER: I think I've got that one. MR. KAINS: Same as the other ones.

COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
MR. KAINS: I do believe Mr. Gallagher is the patriarch of the family. Mr. Gallagher, I'm going to ask you the question I've asked the other folks. Do you want to testify for 45 -minutes or just talk for three minutes?

MR. GALLAGHER: You've given me no choice. I don't think $I$ can do it for three minutes.

MR. KAINS: Could you please raise your right hand and be sworn, sir. EXAMINATION BY MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Go right ahead, Mr. Gallagher -- I assume you're a Piatt County resident, it says Bement?
A. That's correct. MR. KAINS: Okay. Go right ahead.

COMMENTS BY MR. BILL GALLAGHER: A few weeks ago an expert witness for Apex was here talking about wind noise. I asked him if he was aware of a study that was done in Australia that wind noise from the turbines is greater at night. He said he was not aware of that study. At that time, I presented a document that I believe was identified as Gallagher Document No. 1, something of that nature. Mr. Gershon, after the break, made it apparent that that was just an article and he was right. That was just an article that $I$ had found. Tonight I have the supporting documents from that article and I would like to present them to all the parties that are here tonight.

MR. KAINS: All right. I will give you leave to do that. Shelly, let's just go off the record real quick.
(Off the Record)
MR. KAINS: All right. You may proceed. By the way, we are back on the record. We won't count that minute and a half toward your time, Mr. Gallagher.

MR. GALLAGHER: Thank you. First thing I'd like to do is to thank the Piatt County Zoning Board for the many hours that they've spent listening to witnesses and the public who have provided testimony and questions
about the Goose Creek Wind Farm Project. I appreciate that. Having served on the Piatt County Zoning Board in the past, I can appreciate the time spent. This wind farm project is a big deal. One of the ways I can gauge just how big of a deal it is, is by how many lawyers are in the room, men in suits, especially on the side of the room that's representing Apex. You may have noticed often that they have more than one. So as it was said earlier, this is sort of a David and Goliath story. One side has a little bit more money in their pocket. We have gotten to listen to experts give testimony on the pros and cons of this project. Expert witnesses have mentioned all the jobs the project will bring to our county, even the shadow jobs and services that will be here to service the project. I'm still not sure what a shadow job really is, but it was mentioned here one evening by an expert witness from Apex. Having taken a drive to Twin Groves Wind Farm which has been operating since 2008, I really couldn't see much evidence of continuing job creation or service sector jobs in the small towns of Ellsworth or Arrowsmith. Maybe shadow jobs are hard to see. I am not an expert in such matters. We heard expert witnesses tell us that the sounds from the turning turbine blades are not a big
deal and we have heard expert witnesses say just the opposite, that the sounds can cause some people to experience real health problems. And as was earlier stated, we may not know the answer to this question until it's too late. We even had witnesses speaking about the affects of wind towers on bald eagles and bats. He didn't spend much time at all discussing the affects on domestic livestock. I guess that doesn't matter, but then I am not an expert. We have heard about the low level sounds and vibrations that can or cannot be perceived by those who live close to the turbines. So much data, it was at times enough to make your held spin listening to it. And the flicker effect. Remember that? The flicker effect. How many hours is acceptable? Yes, acceptable. Like, there is some industry standard that makes flicker okay, just so it's not too much. I, for one, remember the term "Vesta stigma" and "nuisance stigma." That one actually made complete sense even to me. After all, something that is 600 feet tall is definitely going to spoil your Vesta and it's certainly a nuisance. Of course, we heard expert witnesses talk about the money. It's always about the money, isn't it? And yet some taxing bodies will receive additional income. That's a given. Those
who cooperate will receive payments. If my memory serves me, some of those who receive payments may even actually even live within the footprint, but they are in the minority. Most won't. They don't live around here so they get no Vesta stigma or nuisance stigma. So that's brings to us the folks in Piatt County who live in and close by the wind farm. Being from Piatt County, it's kind of a big deal in the scope of things. I don't seem to remember any of the expert witnesses being from Piatt County. But if you're in Piatt County, I think you should be called an expert witness because you're going to live close. We have heard these good people from Piatt County ask a lot of good questions. Sometimes our good questions went without good answers. Many of those folks will not be cooperating or receiving payments, but they will pay the biggest price. They will do so for many years to come. Is it fair to ask that a small group of our citizens in Piatt County pay the highest price? Most of the people in Piatt County will not be impacted by this project and those that are impacted by this project in parts of Piatt County won't receive no income to their taxing bodies. But my belief is if the Goose Creek Project is approved, then there will be others, other sites in Piatt County considered
for wind towers. Just south of where we are here tonight or just north of Bement is something called the Cerro Gordo Ring. It's a ridge cutting northeast to southwest across that part of Piatt County. If you've ever traveled south of Monticello to Bement, you cross this ridge at the Bement Township Cemetery. So if you think this wind farm in Goose Creek is of no concern, you might think again because that ridge is not a secret, it's not invisible, it's most likely already on their radar of potential wind farm companies. So those red blinking lights you see north of Mansfield and Bellflower and the big white turbine towers you see up there, with the Goose Creek Project, those lights and towers are coming closer to you and closer yet. We just need to ask ourselves, is this what we really want? In closing, something that's come to my attention is, many of the attorneys that I've mentioned have done this before. They know each other. They've worked in other counties on this same issue. It's just one more county that they have to get through to get to the next county. We haven't probably asked one question here tonight in all of the weeks of testimony that these guys haven't heard before. The only difference is, difference faces, different county, different zoning board, different
county board. Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Thank you, Mr. Gallagher.
Questions for Mr. Gallagher from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals? Very good. Questions from members of units of local government including school districts? Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: My only question is for you, Mr. Hearing Officer. So I have received two exhibits and, I'm sorry, what were they marked as?

MR. KEYT: Okay. So the one that starts with, Long-term Quantification Characterization of Wind Farm Noise Amplitude Modulation is Bill Gallagher Exhibit No. 3. The one that begins with, Prevalence of Wind Farm Amplitude Modulation at Long Range Residential Locations is Bill Gallagher Exhibit No. 2. There was a prior Bill Gallagher Exhibit 1.

MR. JACOBI: I recall. Gallagher 2 is, like, about 13 pages, Gallagher 3 is seven or eight pages. I haven't had the opportunity to review these articles. But, I suppose, maybe I do have a question for Mr. Gallagher.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. JACOBI:
Q. Mr. Gallagher, you're not an expert on these subject matters, you're relying on the information in the articles; is that accurate?
A. I am not an expert witness.
Q. Okay. So to the extent that you're testifying here today on these matters, you're relying entirely on what is in these two articles that you've marked as 2 and 3?
A. Like I said, I am not an expert witness.

MR. JACOBI: Okay. So as I understand it, Mr. Hearing Officer, then the scope of the testimony coming from these articles is in these articles, which I have not had a chance to review. I wouldn't have any questions for Mr. Gallagher because he couldn't answer them. He's not an expert, as he just said, on these subject matters. I don't think we'll need anything, but I guess I would just reserve the right to respond potentially tomorrow in writing from one of our witnesses or something to that effect, once I've reviewed these articles.

MR. KAINS: Any written comments from your experts, any written comments from any members of the public will be received, photocopied, given to all members of the Zoning Board of Appeals and will be part
of the record. Yes. I understand the situation. You can't cross-examine Mr. Gallagher on these because he's not an expert witness, but these articles are received and we'll take up the admissibility of these probably tomorrow evening with all the rest of the exhibits that have been presented.

MR. JACOBI: They have been presented by parties outside of the applicant because, I believe, that the exhibits tendered by the applicant have been accepted.

MR. KAINS: Everything has been received. Nothing has been admitted.

MR. JACOBI: I believe -- I thought that the application was admitted at the first hearing. This is just for clarification.

MR. KAINS: It's going to come in, but we'll take that up --

MR. LUETKEHANS: -- I can tell you we're not going to object. How's that?

MR. JACOBI: No. I do -- I thought we'd run through that exercise though so I just wanted to make sure that if we haven't, that we do. So okay. Fair enough.

MR. KAINS: Tomorrow night we will take up the
exhibits. Hopefully, tomorrow night we'll take up all of the exhibits. Guys, you know how I operate. They will all -- all exhibits will come in for the purpose they've been submitted. Unless there is some vehement strenuous objection, and I will consider that. Okay. All right. So any other questions for Mr. Gallagher right now?

MR. JACOBI: No, sir. Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Questions for Mr. Gallagher from any other licensed attorneys in the room? Questions for this witness from Piatt County staff and consultants? Any questions from you, Mr. Luetkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No, sir.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Any final questions from the zoning board? Thank you, Mr. Gallagher, appreciate it. All right. Our next witness, Cassie Reeser. Ms. Reeser, will you be testifying and having an allotment of time subject to the questions from the zoning board and counsel and the public or do you wish to give a three-minute public comment?

MS. REESER: Public comment, please.
MR. KAINS: Okay. You have three minutes. First of all, could you please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.

MS. REESER: Cassie Reeser, C-A-S-S-I-E, $R-E-E-S-E-R$. MR. KAINS: All right. You go right ahead. COMMENTS BY MS. REESER: MS. REESER: First of all, thank you very much to all of the zoning board members here and the county board members for your time and your consideration through this process. I'm going to do my best to get through this through. For many that are here tonight, this is a very personal subject. So I've written down my comments here that I'll try to get through. I live on one of our family farms aligned with the footprint of the proposed wind mills near De Land Illinois. Our family has been farming this area for a multiple of generations, both in Piatt and De Witt counties. We currently renovated my grandparent's farmhouse, built in the teens, in hopes that it will stand for another hundred years. This is our home, our farm and our legacy. I choose to live in the country for the lifestyle and peace that it brings. The idea of this large of an infrastructure being built throughout prime farming ground is heartbreaking. As many have mentioned, sitting on a porch and enjoying the outdoors during the nice weather is one of life's enjoyments when
living in the country. That won't happen living amongst wind mills. We've heard all of the stats from Apex about the amount of farm ground used per structure and the concrete board left behind. The idea of any farm ground to this use when we're in the middle of some of the best farm ground in the United States with farmers working to feed the world, it's disheartening. I implore you to think about the bigger picture and not the shiny ideas that Apex likes to present as a smokescreen to push these proposals through. Think about the impact that this infrastructure has on our rural community, those who are farming and living in this area. Think about the pieces that aren't being said, what it's taking to manufacture, transport and build these structures, resources used for each of those steps. Look at the evidence of what Apex does, not just what they say they will do, selling off projects once they're complete, promises gone unfulfilled with the new companies under no obligation to sustain the promises to the community, the maintenance, or decommissioning of the structures. I leave you with this: I do believe that each person has the right to make decisions for their own home or land up to the point when it impacts their neighbors. As a member of this community who has
been and will be here long after Apex has come and gone, please think long and hard about the impacts of those of us who live in the community and how you would really truly feel if this proposal was in your backyard and consider that when making your final decision on how you would vote on this matter.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Ms. Reeser.
MS. REESER: Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Cherene White. I hope I said that right.

MS. WHITE: You did.
MR. KAINS: Well, wow, I got something right. Now Ms. White, would you like to testify and you would have, since you're from De Land, you'd have 45 minutes or would you like to give a public comment around three minutes?

MS. WHITE: I would like to testify, please.
MR. KAINS: Okay.
EXAMINATION BY
MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Okay. Would you please spell your name -state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.
A. Cherene, White. C-H-E-R-E-N-E, W-H-I-T-E.
Q. And you are from De Land?
A. That's correct.
Q. That's in Piatt County?
A. That's correct.

MR. KAINS: Very good. You have 45 minutes, if you'd like.

COMMENTS BY MS. WHITE:
MS. WHITE: Thank you. I just would like to say that we have lived in our residence for 51 years and we love our home out in the country where it's peaceful and quiet. When the peaker plant came in, that increased the noise level. We are not interested in having any other sort of commercial industrial technology come in. My thinking is what happens 20, 30 years from now if this does come about? Where are we going to be? Will we be better off? I am not so sure. There will always be something better come along. Just like back in the day when we didn't have cell phones, we had bag phones to start out with, then we have flip phones, then here comes the cell phones. The technology will change every 10,15 years, even sooner, just like computers do. We didn't have computers and now it's unfathomable all the things that are coming about. We
do not want this to come because we enjoy where we're living and this will be a detriment to our personal life and to our sale of our home, if we ever decide to do that. We don't want to leave. We like living in Piatt County. We like living where we are at. So that's what I have to say. Please consider that. I appreciate all your time and efforts. I know it's a tremendous job to undertake the decision you have to make, but I would hope you will consider the people that have to live close to these. Thank you.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Ms. White. Questions from Ms. White from members of the zoning board? Mr. Harrington?

MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
EXAMINATION BY
MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. Ms. White, could you maybe give me your address and tell me where you're at in relation to the proposed project?
A. Yes. 2310 North 800 East Road.
Q. Very good. Do you happen to know, based on their map or other maps you might be working off of, what your closest distance to a tower would be?
A. I'm not exactly sure. We haven't been given a
whole lot of information, but we are relatively close.
I think they'll be possibly west and north of us a
little bit, but not very far, not far enough.
Q. Understood. Are you maybe -- tell me from the peaker plant where you are at?
A. We're just north of that about a quarter of a mile, half mile.
Q. Due north?
A. Yes.
Q. So that highline would actually affect you also?
A. Yes. It would.
Q. All right. Very good, ma'am. Thank you.
A. You're welcome.

MR. KAINS: Any other questions for Ms. White from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals? Very good. Questions from members of units of local government including school districts? Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: Thank you. No questions.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Any other licensed attorneys in the room with questions? Questions from interested parties in support of or neutral on the
application for special use permit? Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants? Mr. Leutkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No, sir.
MR. KAINS: Any other questions from the board? Very good. Thank you, Ms. White.

MS. WHITE: Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Next is Mr. Gary White. Hello,
Mr. White. Would you like to testify or make a public comment for three minutes?

MR. WHITE: Yes. I just want to make a comment.

MR. KAINS: Yes, sir. Go right ahead.
COMMENTS BY MR. WHITE:
MR. WHITE: Okay. My wife stole some of my
thunder. So I will go ahead and get my little spiel and get out of here. Like she said, we lived in our home 51 years and I don't know how many turbines will be around us, but like she said, one is too many. We're about a mile from that peaker plant and it's straight south of us. When it starts up, as John Garrett earlier said, it's sound like an airplane coming through your house or possibly a train going through your house, especially if wind is out of the south. It's very loud. That's a mile away. If, you know, some turbines are
then, you know, closer than that, I think it could be a problem. I think the project will make Piatt County nothing like we've seen it before. My wife and $I$ will be severely impacted because $I$ think there is going to be several around us. I am not sure about how close. So I just would talk to you people of the board, hopefully, you won't let money make your decision. Thank you. Thank you, sir.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Mr. White. Sandy Coile. Ms. Coile, you've expressed that you wish to testify?

MS. COILE: Yes. That's correct.
MR. KAINS: All right. Would you please raise your right hand and be sworn by the court reporter.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Ms. Coile, would you please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.
A. My name is Sandra Coile, $S-A-N-D-R-A$, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}$.
Q. And you are from Mansfield?
A. That's correct. I live at One Lakeside Drive in Mansfield.
Q. Is that located in Piatt County?
A. Yes. It is.

MR. KAINS: Ms. Coile, you have 45 minutes. COMMENTS BY MS. COILE:

MS. COILE: I don't need all of that. Thank you. Okay. To begin with, I just want to say that I am testifying not as an expert, but as a resident of District 1 in Piatt County. These are my personal thoughts and concerns. These are things that I have heard, seen, experienced and so I want to speak to those things. I did move to Mansfield in November of 2020. So I'm fairly new to Mansfield. I am a retired training and development manager and special services manager for AT\&T. And it reminded me when Ms. White spoke about that telephone story. You know, I've been thinking about all of this overhead stuff. And back in 1970's when I first started working AT\&T, all of the phone lines were aerial, you know, everything was in the air. Back -- I have seen pictures back in the early 1900's where there were hundreds of aerial lines. Over the years, AT\&T realized that people don't want to see all of that crap. They want to see a peaceful backyard. Back in the 70's, we started putting our equipment, our cables underground as did other utilities. At some
point when we started building new subdivisions, nothing was in the air. The Vesta was not, you know, a problem because everything was underground. We even had people who lived in aerial areas who wanted buried service and so they paid extra for us to come out and bury the service from the pole to their home so they would not have that look in their backyard. It looks like they wanted peace and quiet too. So I just had to share that story since she said that. It reminded me. Okay. Back. I previously lived in Mahomet. I was gone from this area for 12 years and when I moved back, I was looking for a home. I did consider Mahomet, but ultimately decided that it's grown so much that it no longer looked peaceful and quiet and that's what I was looking for. I was looking for a place where I could enjoy the sunsets. I have a cornfield on the south side of me and beans growing to the west of my house. I love watching the crops change and grow. It gives me peace to be in nature. I think about those of you who are hunters. My husband was a hunter and I know that he loved to just sit in the tree line. He just loved to sit in nature. That's kind of how I feel about where I live. I love sitting on my back deck, I love looking at the sunsets, I even love watching the beans when it
changes when the wind moves it. I find that very peaceful. I can't imagine looking at wind towers approximately the same height as the arch in St. Louis. To think of 50 of them, well, it will change our landscape for many years to come. Just look at Bellflower. We can see the lights from Bellflower which is, I am not sure, maybe seven or eight miles from us. They're blinking all of the time in the evening. It's chaotic. It does look like an alien land. Had I known that this proposal was in the works, I would never have bought my house in Piatt County. We've heard from Apex that people will want to move to these areas for jobs and schools. The jobs that they talked about are temporary and common sense will tell you that very few folks would enjoy living under these conditions, thus adversely affecting our property values. My first indication that Apex was proposing a wind farm that would affect my village and the county was when $I$ saw an agenda on the Mansfield Village Board Meeting. The meeting was to be held last April 4 and Apex was requesting permission to come within the one and a half mile perimeter that we've got. I was worried about my property value should this project be approved, so I contacted Alisa Demarco with Caldwell Banker Realty. I
explained my concerns and I asked her whether she thought it would make a negative impact my property value. Her answer to me was, "Sandy, I have never heard of anyone who said that they were looking for a house near a wind farm." On May 2, the Mansfield Board Meeting was packed. There was a lot of discussion about what was going on and Apex was coming in and so it was packed. I'm pretty sure that it's been a long time since that many residents attended a meeting. Mayor Andy Stiger reported that Apex was no long interested in coming in our village. Residents still spoke in opposition of the Apex project. There was no one at the meeting that spoke in favor of the wind farm coming into our area. I live in the Lakeside Subdivision and our President, Dennis Stalter, presented petitions to the board opposing any wind farm in our area. A discussion ensued concerning the Apex grants that had been given to our village. Our mayor proposed an ordinance not to allow any wind farms in our mile and a half jurisdiction and Attorney Amy Rupiper was tasked with drafting that ordinance. On November 30, a public hearing was held in Mansfield concerning our ordinance. All members of the board, every member, every trustee on our board expressed their wish to pass this ordinance and not
allow wind farms in our jurisdiction. Residents also spoke in the same manner. Again, no one spoke in favor of the wind farms. On December 5, the Mansfield ordinance was unanimously passed. In an effort to better understand this issue, I attended the Champaign County Zoning Board Meeting on April 14. Those in attendance shared the same concerns that we've talked about here. They've talked about setbacks, flicker, Vesta stigma, tile issues, road issues and medical concerns. They also talked about decommissioning costs. I heard Ted Hartke's presentation concerning the issues that he and his family experienced while living near a wind farm. He presented studies showing that different noise levels can cause health issues. I was impressed by the study done at his location of the decibel levels at different times of the day and night and how they correlated with the journal that he kept. He actually had a journal that whenever they were bothered, he wrote the date, the time or whatever and when the studies came back and showed that the decibel levels were high at that time. He talked about how he and his wife and kids all had to move their beds into an interior family room so the low frequency noise didn't affect them as much as the outside walls would have. I found Ted Hartke to be
truthful and credible. I have since heard Dr. Punch's presentation which validates what can happen concerning health issues. At the Champaign meeting, I also heard Steve Littlefield's presentation. Steve has 30 years in real estate and works for Keller Williams Realty. He did a study on properties in Northern Champaign County concerning homes sold before the wind farm came in and again after. He showed that 25 percent of those farms -- not farms, their residences -- lost ten percent of their property value and another 75 percent lost between 30 and 35 percent of their property value. Since that time, I have also heard in these meetings about the loss of property values. I confirmed with Becky Wilson and Russ Taylor of Remax Realty about my concerns. They tell me that property in the Danville area near the turbines have lost value. If the property -- if it sells at all -- some of the properties are not selling and people are just keeping them. I believe one of most telling things that $I$ heard in these meetings was when we were told that perception equals value. I think that's a true statement. I attended a Piatt County Board Meeting on September 14 and found on their agenda a revenue sharing agreement. I found that an e-mail was sent to the board members less than 48 hours before Apex
expected them to vote on the issue. I also found out that the document -- if the document was signed, that there was a nondisclosure clause. My question would be: If this proposal was such a great idea, why would Apex not want our board members to be able to discuss it? I am sure that Apex made sure that the revenue sharing proposal was legal, but in my opinion it appeared to be a bribe. No motion was made concerning this issue, our board decided not to address this proposal and I applaud them for their insight. I have attended almost every zoning and board meeting from the last eight months. I haven spoken to friends, neighbors, farmers and residents of Piatt County. I've spoke to residents mainly in District 1 where $I$ live. I went to the Mansfield Celebration, White Heath Sesquicentennial and walked neighborhoods in White Heath and Mansfield. In about eight hours, Lori Stalter and I received over 300 signatures on a petition to stop the Apex proposal. When Lori speaks tomorrow, she will be giving those signatures over to you folks. By far, the majority of these people that we spoke to were in opposition. It's my understanding that our signatures combined with others come to approximately 600 residents willing to speak out in protest of this proposal. I called

Bellflower's past mayor, Mr. Allen, to get his take on the wind farms. He told me that they were told that their real estate taxes would be decreased after the project. However, they had increased. He was the first person to use the term "bribe" when discussing wind farm actions. This wind farm was not developed by Apex, but gives a picture of issues that have presented themselves with wind farms and the way they do business in general. I've Googled Apex legal issues and found that when Apex sold their project in Hoopeston to Akia, there was a legal issue concerning failure to pay a vender for work that was completed and that ended after lawsuits. These are my issues: The Vesta stigma. I moved to the country for peace and nature and not to look at industrial wind farms. I'm also concerned about something that really $I$ don't think we spoke about here which is severe weather predictions. According to Chris Miller who worked 20 years at the National Weather Service in Lincoln, in 2018, Doppler radar was distorted for 15 minutes during the Taylorville tornado which they attributed to the presence of a wind farm. Top Hat Wind Farm has proposed installing a wind farm in Lincoln Illinois close to Doppler radar. Top Hat agreed to shut town the wind farms in severe weather. Kevin Lighty,

Chief Meteorologist at WCIA, was concerned about the amount of time that it would take to shut them down. I need just a minute to pull something up here.

MR. KAINS: Shelly, let's go off the record for a minute.
(Off the Record)
MR. KAINS: Hang on, Ms. Coile. Right now we'll go back on the record. You may proceed.

MS. COILE: Okay. While she's looking for that, I also want to say that I am concerned with what appears to be unethical conduct of Apex. Apex paid people for a good neighbor agreement, again, with a nondisclosure agreement. What are they afraid of? If this is so great, why do you need to pay someone not to speak ill of the project and why would you have to pay neighbors at all? Apex told farmers that they might as well sign the lease because their neighbor had done so. The farmers that I spoke to found out by talking to those neighbors that Apex had lied, that was not true. To me it's a great marketing tool, but to me it's unethical. Apex also approached an elderly woman living in a proposed area requesting that she sign a lease and when she declined, she was harassed. Apex even approached her daughter and suggested that perhaps her
mother was not mentally able to make a good decision. That concerns me. Apex handed out grants to those who would be able to help them get the proposal passed. At Mansfield, a grant was given to the American Legion whose leader is John Hannah, our road commissioner. A grant was given Northern Piatt County Fire Department. The Village trustee, Todd Jones, is the fire chief and would be voting on any Apex proposals that involve Mansfield, like the proposal to be able to come into our one and a half mile jurisdiction. Lucas Gilbert was given a grant for his personal business, Lando's Barbershop in Mansfield. Lucas is also a village trustee who would be voting on the Apex issue. This is a prime example of what appears to me to be unethical practices and the last is perhaps a legal issue as well. I was concerned also about the fact that Apex's sound expert, I believe his name was Mr. Duncan, admitted attending sound conferences, but he couldn't recall any conversations in those meetings concerning sound and health. I mean, really? This is an important health issue and they've never discussed it? In conclusion -did you find it? Sorry, I am not concluding yet. Okay. Back to the Kevin Lighty thing. Kevin Lighty said on November 16 on his Facebook post, "I rarely make
personal opinions on issues, but this one hits close to home, so here it goes: "I drove by the Lincoln Doppler radar tonight after the meeting. I kind of feel bad for it. It's going to be fighting through further contamination from wind turbines. Let me rephrase that. The meteorologists at the U.S. National Weather Service Central Illinois will be fighting it. I hope the curtailments wind up working. I am glad out of this that maybe the schools or the jail or the roads or something for the county gets improved by the money, but I hope it's not the sacrifice of a life. I hope the day never comes where someone at that office is put in a position where they are unable to detect a tornado that eventually goes on to destroy a city or, God forbid, kill anyone. I hope I never have to look back at this post and say I wish more could have been done." That's the end of his Facebook post. Okay. In collusion, Apex is a development company. They're great at marketing, but in my opinion, their actions are questionable in terms of truth and ethical treatment. They pay to get their proposals passed and feel the need for nondisclosure deals. They often sell these projects after completion which we've seen with Ford County. What promises do we have that the new owners will
maintain safety issues? Do you really believe all of the declarations from Apex about how concerned they are and how they want to help Piatt County? Again, it's a marketing technique. I'd love to know where they've given money and grants to communities that they don't happen to have a proposal in that area. What's going to happen when federal subsidies expire? Who is going to be responsible for decommissioning in case of bankruptcy or lack of paying taxes? It is possible that it would fall on the state, the county or the landowners? When discussing job opportunities, yes, construction workers would have perhaps eight months worth of work, but it's temporary work. After completion, Apex would have approximately half a dozen employees. Are they full-time, are they part-time? I don't believe this temporary number and minimal number of jobs would offset the detrimental affects of the wind farms. I'm concerned about me and my neighbors health, property value, and the Vesta stigma that this proposal would create. I do believe in renewable energy like nuclear and solar. A few weeks ago, the zoning board was discussing a solar farm. I was here that night. We were informed that the height of the solar panels wouldn't be higher than 16 feet and, you know, the board
discussed ways to hide these panels by planting trees or other coverage. There is no way that you can hide a 600-foot wind farm, let alone, 50 of them. I had attended zoning meetings that looked at the possible building of a house. You've all talked about grain elevators, solar plants. Each time the zoning board considers the long-term issues concerning the peace and enjoyment of their neighbor's life. I am asking you, the zoning board, to deny this wind farm proposal. I really would like to thank our moderator, the Zoning Board of Appeals, the Piatt County members and really all of the citizens who have shared their voices both pro and con on this issue. Done.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Ms. Coile. Questions for Ms. Coile from the Zoning Board of Appeals? Questions from members of units of local government including school districts? Questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys? Mr. Jacobi? EXAMINATION BY MR. JACOBI:
Q. Thank you, Ms. Coile. You currently live within the corporate boundaries of Mansfield?
A. I actually live in the city limits. I am the last road in the city limits. On my side of the street,
on the east side of the street, is the city. On the left side of the street, is the county. So.
Q. Got it. You said you're retired from AT\&T?
A. I am retired from AT\&T after 35 years.
Q. Good for you.
A. Thank you.
Q. What was your role there before you retired?
A. I've done a lot of things.
Q. I'd imagine in 35 years that you've covered a lot of ground?
A. Actually, I was an installer repair person, I climbed telephone poles, I've went in manholes, I've worked the cables, I worked on the aerial lines, I did all of it. I retired as a manager for special services and training and development. I did training and development.
Q. You mentioned that folks would opt to have the fiber optic cables buried under their yards?
A. It's not just fiber optics. It was before fiber optics even. It was just for regular cable. We got to the point in the subdivisions, in the new subdivisions that we were putting in, we put everything underground. You see little green pedestals that are three-foot tall and that's only footprint you see. You
don't see the cable going to the pedestal, nor do you see the wires being buried to the homes.
Q. Got it. So these were the telephone lines?
A. That's correct. And after that, you know, maybe even during that, other utilities did the same with electrical, you know, cable, they all went underground.
Q. Well, not all of them. There are still some?
A. True, true. Where they could, they did. Yes.
Q. Got it. You have mentioned a lot about real estate. Are you -- do you have a background in real estate?
A. Actually, I am no expert in real estate. I'm just someone who is worried about my property values and I spoke to a number of people concerning that.
Q. I understand. I didn't catch the gentleman's -- Steve's last name that you spoke of?
A. Yes. I'll tell you. Steve -- I think his name was Littlefield, I think. Maybe. I'll find him.
Q. I know the Taylor's, of course, being from Mahomet.
A. Yes. You know Russ Taylor. Yes, it was Steve Littlefield's presentation and he works for Keller Williams. He was at the Champaign meeting when I went
there to try and figure out what was going on with this.
Q. He spoke of properties out by Danville, you said?
A. That's correct.
Q. You quoted a lot from Mr. Hartke who also spoke at this Champaign meeting?
A. Yes. He spoke at the Champaign meeting and that was the first time I had heard him. I then read his presentation online and he spoke here to the board.
Q. You spoke a little bit of Doppler radar and that's not a subject that you're an expert, but you're relying on some of the communications by Mr. Lighty?
A. Yes. It was just really concerning. I was trying to educate myself on the different issues and that was something that came on Channel 3 News. They were talking about all of these issues. So, no, I'm not an expert, but $I$ know that Kevin Lighty is an expert and so I appreciate his opinion.
Q. Sure thing. It sounded like his recommendation was to curtail during severe weather; he thought that would help?
A. As long as they can do it in time.
Q. Got it.

MR. JACOBI: Thank you. No further questions.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Mr. Jacobi. Any other questions from licensed attorneys in the room? Questions from other interested parties, persons in support or neutral on the application? Questions from Piatt County staff or consultants? Any questions from you, Mr. Leutkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No, sir.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Final questions from the Zoning Board of Appeals for Ms. Coile? Very good. Ma'am, thank you. We'll try to get one more in, maybe two. Susan Ryan. Ms. Ryan, do you wish to testify and have time or just make a three-minute public comment? MS. RYAN: I can't do this in three minutes so.

MR. KAINS: Very good. That's understood. Absolutely. Then could you please raise your right hand and be sworn.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Could you please state your name, spelling your first and last names for the record.
A. Susan Ryan. $S-U-S-A-N, R-Y-A-N$.
Q. Very good. And where do you reside?
A. I am in De Witt County.
Q. You're in De Witt County?
A. Yes.
Q. What community up there?
A. Farmer City.
Q. Farmer City?
A. Northern Farmer City.

MR. KAINS: Northern Farmer City. All right.
Well, under the rules, you have 15 minutes.
COMMENTS BY MS. RYAN:
MS. RYAN: Okay. Thank you.
MR. KAINS: All right. You may go ahead. MS. RYAN: Thank you, Chairman Wax, the Zoning Board, Mr. Kains and Keri for your time and good efforts. My great-great-grandparents farmed in what was then called North Prairie, north of Farmer City. My farming family has been there ever since. My family's hope is that we can continue our family farm stewardship. My grandfather grew up in Mansfield moving to Farmer City to farm with my grandma. My classmates and I were the first graduating eighth-grade class in Mansfield of the newly consolidated Farmer City-Mansfield School District. These are my roots. Our farmhouse is a quarter of a mile from the De

Witt-McLean County Line and about three-quarters of a mile from two Invenergy wind towers. To share our proximity to the McLean County wind towers, we were even given a receptor number for latest South McLean County wind tower complex covering 14,000 acres of our landscape. You have a map and a footprint of the space in Illinois that takes up -- I have to apologize about that. I didn't realize it was going to be, like, testimony with my handouts. Anyway, I just wanted to emphasize the footprint. We, in De Witt County, had no voice in the industrial activities less than a mile from our home. Our farm is about mile or so from the Piatt County line to our north and our east. So that's why I am here tonight. We've had a great deal of Invenergy work traffic on our little country road. It's a short cut to find the road and the client there. In the morning, many come in northwards from, specifically, I-74. The union people who spoke here the other night gave their home addresses. I am assuming that the union hall and Apex tried hard to bring in the right people for these Piatt County meetings. But, I believe, there wasn't quite a handful the other night that were Piatt County residents. It seems that many of these workers travel and work across the states. Dr. Loomis's models
show 585 local Piatt County jobs during construction. Dr. Loomis also testified for Enel in De Witt County. He was definitely on the friendly side with Enel where he surely could have received real De Witt County job numbers from them. De Witt and Piatt Counties would be comparable for expert testimony here. That was, if you wanted those real numbers, instead of models. Our two youngest boys farm and this year they've been working around McLean County with actual wind tower construction going on in Farmer City. I'd like to share some of our experiences with Invenergy and White Construction in McLean County as we've been told to look at that construction as the Apex model. Route 136 road damage was brought up earlier in this hearing and 136 was closed during part of harvest season north of farmer City. It was best guess work around for traveling grain trucks and farm machinery. Farmers north of Farmer City also learned they needed to be extremely cautious harvesting in those wind tower fields because the rubble, such as wood pallets, that were left in the field. Combines and heavy debris in the field are not a good combination. In at least one field during harvest, the underground cable that wasn't underground yet was laying in the field with no flags or other safety
warnings surrounding it. The farmers worked around it. Apex's Safety Expert Mr. Conley and Vice President Mr. Koziar, minimized the field fire concerns by, "Just letting it burn." Developing self-contained wind tower fire prevention doesn't sound like a priority. Apex can grandly pay for crop fire damage their towers cause, but there are homes, animals and people in the midst of a fast-moving and devastating field fire too. Perhaps last Thursday night, Apex's Vice President Koziar was speaking of the workers' safety, not the residents regarding safety priorities. Concerns about the road slope on these roads are real too. In De Witt county with the Enel Project on Tabor Road, a gravel hauler went off the side of the road because of the steep slope and overturned. This was during planting season. You can see a picture posted by an anonymous footprint resident on the Facebook page, De Witt County Residents Against Wind Turbines warning folks to be careful of them. The search tool on that page is useful. There is lot of helpful Central Illinois information about these wind tower companies and their projects. White Construction wrapped up about 50 road use -- misuse penalties during the De Witt County construction. You have the article provided. They will pay dearly in
fines, but they have the money. Just not accountability. White Construction was unused by Enel in De Witt County and then Grenergy in McLean County. Apex would use White Construction too if this permit was approved. Why do these companies continue to hire White Construction with such disregard for local laws that we have to follow? I do appreciate the personal stories from the leaseholders here and I don't disregard the money gained from their leases, but I wasn't crazy about being lectured by a Northern Illinois University law professor about what the rest of us rural folks should be doing for the common good. Central Illinois farmers know how to be good stewards of the land. It's our livelihood. Parking some 30,000 tons of concrete per turbine in the black dirt isn't appealing to me. Checking out four feet of surface concrete leaving most behind is even less appealing, if decommissioned. It's a bit ironic to hear Apex with their conservation kudos when their experts tell us the trees should be cut down and habitats destroyed to keep birds and wildlife away in the footprint. Permits for killing birds and bats seem to be standard practice in the wind industrial complex industry. It was mentioned by Mr. Brown in his testimony. I also get tired of hearing from these wind
tower company experts that we don't have much of anything in wildlife worth worrying about. I shared the photo of the eagle in the field in Macon County with you. That's by Radford's Run. We also had a bald eagle in our field about a mile from the turbines and we have a rough-legged hawk that comes every year from the Arctic to winter. We have wildlife. There are many citizens science projects such as the Bird Count Project, Project Feeder Watch, Journey North and Journey South, collaborations between scientists and residents. I wish Mr. Brown had also used these resources, but maybe it wasn't providing results he needed for his report. In De Witt County, NL's experts also didn't seem to have comprehensive answers. Their environmental experts didn't even know of the Mahomet Aquifer when first asked, despite seemingly studying groundwater concerns. Apex's environmental experts, Ms. Geiger and Mr. Brown were also unaware of our Mahomet Aquifer and the potential affects for our groundwater and wells. Strangely, we were told to weight until the Construction Project Manager, Mr. Carlson, could respond and answer. We heard from both lawyers, Gershon and Mr. Carlson, about what they considered needless worries about the Mahomet Aquifer with pretty much crickets from their
environmental experts. We're still discovering more about the aquifer. The guided surveys just beginning here was covered on November 15 on WCIA news. I think you have some information about that in there too. The 2018 Mahomet Aquifer Task Force Report referenced a bit by Mr. Gershon, also released this, Topics suggested for future review. The Task Force requested future studies on potential contamination from drilling and the potential of affects of wind mills on ground water. You have that excerpt. Please give this aquifer study more time concerning one of our most precious resources, our water. Flashing lights and towers loom over our north horizon for miles and miles. In De Land, a turn onto the De Land blacktop towards Farmer City allow you to see the towers three-quarters of a mile from my house. Between Mansfield and Farmer City traveling on $I-74$, the north view is a brutal sight. We have Christmas lights to the north every night of the year. Enjoying the night sky is something we face south or west to do now. An open door policy was promised by Enel. Daily construction reports were to be provided for the footprint residents in De Witt County. They were not. After the residents were informed of the road condition and found no way to or from work, it was a solid mess.

The other night, the Logan County gentleman told us all about the financing benefits from the wind towers. Blue Ridge School District built new schools before Apex came along. With that said, it would be great to have more money in our rural counties, I can't discount that. But at what price? Pertaining to Logan County, the National Weather Service in Lincoln, the Lincoln Center is our regional weather service. I won't go into what was already mentioned by Kevin Lighty. I have that quote, but it's already been read so I'll spare you. It's important though. He's the Chief Meteorologist for Channel 3. So Kevin Lighty, the Chief Meteorologist for WCIA and Chris Miller who is the Warning Coordinator Meteorologist for Lincoln -- was the Warning Coordinator Meteorologist for the Lincoln National Weather Service. Both testified at the Logan County hearings and Chris Miller was the Warning Coordinator Meteorologist until he retired last year and he can now talk with no limitations on his expertise. The National Weather Service policy is to neither support nor disapprove of wind towers, along with all the other governmental agencies you've heard of not protecting us. Whether they have an updated wind tower statistics incident report, they're acting like a chamber of commerce. The
government entity's job is to protect us. So WCIA interviewed former National Weather Service Warning Coordinator Chris Miller on November 11 and he said, "The storm that made the 2018 Taylorville tornado pass right through a wind farm in northwest Macon County" -that was the Radford's Run wind farm and I'm sorry if I'm repeating this, but it's just one sentence -- "but when it did that, the Doppler radar velocity images were corrupted. They were unusable for 15 minutes." This is called radar data contamination from wind turbine clusters also known as wind farms. Radar data contamination. So that storm that went through December 1, 2018 also traveled northeast through De Witt, into Le Roy, into Moraine View State Park causing significant damage all along the way. It was with little warning because of the radar data contamination. Over 1,200 signed a petition opposing Logan County's Top Hat Energy Project because of the potential problems caused to the radar compromising our Central Illinois regions timely weather news. After Lighty and Miller and many other's opposing testimony, the Logan County Board still passed this special use permit for the Top Hat Project. Listing the various experiences, seeing the lack of answers from many of the wind company
experts, hearing the conflicting information when they do answer that opposes common sense is troubling. We had the same in the De Witt County hearings. Then the next election after the announced special use permit was approved, De Witt County voted out most of the board members that approved that Enel Project. As of last week, after a couple voting cycles, they are now all gone. The De Witt County RBC and ZBA have poured over the wind and solar ordinances to try and fix them after lessons learned from the Alta Wind Tower construction problems. I understand you here have been marking the De Witt County blunders to prevent the same. I'm glad Terry testified. He did not vote for the project and he -- I am glad he spoke here tonight. I shared the images of the footprint map. That Apex's website page, though, it caught my eye, I'm sharing the handout because it emphasizes -- it was over 600-foot wind towers covering the footprint. In the lower left-hand corner of that Apex page, there's a red dot on the State of Illinois map showing the magnitude of this project from 610 feet up and across the landscape. It's not just that project that's connecting to McLean County, it's just connecting the dots across our countryside.

MR. KAINS: Ms. Ryan, with all due respect,
you have one minute remaining.
MS. RYAN: Thank you.
MR. KAINS: Thank you.
MS. RYAN: Current federal and Illinois State policy provides incentives to decarbonize electric generation, transportation in home, office heating sectors supplying all the needed electric power for renewable sources. With the percentage of actual wind and solar output below 50 percent of the rated output, we will still rely on fossil fuel, renewable power to supply the grid likely buying it from other states at a premium like California does. Yet the tax funded incentives to build remain. If Piatt County officials think that this project won't be followed by another and another and another, such as in McLean and Logan Counties, they should consider those current incentives that will bring the wind and solar companies back again and again once their nose is under the tent. There are many ways to describe the county covered end to end with these projects and rural character is not one of them. Thank you.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Ms. Ryan. Questions for Ms. Ryan from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals? Questions for this witness from
members of units of local government including school districts? Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: Thank you, Ms. Ryan. No questions.

MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you. Questions from other interested parties, members of the public in support of or neural on the application? Questions from Piatt County staff and consultants? Mr. Luetkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No, sir.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Thank you, Ms. Ryan. One more. Kate Rutherford, please. Ms. Rutherford, would you like to testify or would you like to make a three-minute public comment?

MS. RUTHERFORD: I didn't clock myself. So I think for safety, we better go for testify. It shouldn't be too long.

MR. KAINS: Please raise your right hand and be sworn.

EXAMINATION BY
MR. KAINS:
(Witness Sworn)
Q. Could you please state your name for the record, spelling your first and last names.
A. Yes. Katherine Rutherford, $K-A-T-H-E-R-I-N-E$,
$R-U-T-H-E-R-F-O-R-D$.
Q. And Ms. Rutherford, this indicates you are from White Heath; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And in what county does that lie?
A. Piatt County.
Q. Very good.

MR. KAINS: Then you, if you would like, have 45 minutes.

COMMENTS BY MS. RUTHERFORD:
MS. RUTHERFORD: Thank you. I want to start by thanking the board for all of their hard work and time. You should all actually already have a copy of this letter that I am about to read. I dropped it off at one of the first meetings in November, not sure if I'd be able to come back on the night when it was time for the residents opposed to read. But I am back and very pleased to have the chance to read to you now. To the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals. My name is Katherine Rutherford and my husband and I own and reside at 3 River Valley Ranch in White Heath. We are located just outside of the Goose Creek Wind Project area. That would be approximately 2.18 miles from Tower 44 and 2.45 miles from Tower 64. We are at a distance such
that, if this project is passed, I hope that the impact on us will be limited to a changed neighborhood view and potential future tax liability for decommissioning. Moreover, I have serious concerns for the rights of non-participating property owners, residents and the wildlife that are in the project area. In the county's zoning ordinance, there is a list of items that the board may prescribe conditions upon to insure that they're upheld before recommending the issuance of a special use permit. Amongst this list are many items that the Goose Creek Wind Project will violate and no prescribed condition can reasonably insure against these violations. They are as follows from the zoning ordinance: "The establishment, maintenance or operation of the special use will not be detrimental or endanger the public health, safety, morals, comfort or general welfare." Public health: The stress and sleep disturbance caused by proximity to industrial wind turbines is a highly debated topic which means it is not completely understood as of yet. That alone should give us pause when considering placing turbines as close to residences as are planned in this project. Safety: Turbines will bring an increased risk for fire and other issues such as ice throw. It is especially concerning
that a fire in a turbine cannot be extinguished due to the height, but must be monitored and secondary fires fought until it goes out on it's own. In addition, it is easily demonstrated that wind farms interfere with weather Doppler radar function, even if turbines are shut off within a certain amount of minutes of notification by the National Weather Service. That is time lost for interpreting data and sending out necessary warnings that may save lives. Comfort: Shadow flicker is recognized as an issue by Apex such as that they have proposed limits on the amount of time shadow flicker may be on a residence each day. The practical reality is that people are working on and enjoying their property outside of their homes where there will be no limits on shadow flicker duration. General Welfare: Local crop dusting businesses will have their work impeded and farmers, both participating and non-participating, may lose the option of aerial application and products. In addition, the wildlife in the area will be detrimentally affected. Increased death with bats and birds, including eagles, is expected to occur. Back to the zoning ordinance: "The special use will not be injurious to the use and enjoyment of other property in the immediate vicinity for the
purposes of already permitted nor substantially diminished and impaired property values within the neighborhood." Use and Enjoyment: As mentioned above, shadow flicker is a problem. In addition, nearby properties will have the addition of the sight and sound of turbines to the peace, quiet and rural agriculture views they currently enjoy. For many, and certainly from those receiving no income from these turbines, that will substantially decrease the enjoyment of their property. Property Values: While the value of the parcel containing the income-producing turbine may increase, it is common sense that a nearby parcel will have a decrease in value. The pool of prospective buyers for the property will be reduced due to exclusion of those who do not want to live in the proximity of an industrial wind turbine. An optimistic interpretation is that days on the market may increase, but in reality, reduced buyers means decreased demand for the property which will translate into reduced property value. Here's the last portion from the zoning ordinance: "The establishment of the special use will not include the normal and orderly development and improvement of surrounding property for uses permitted in the district." Development and Improvement: In surrounding
property, wind turbines have the potential to encroach on neighboring properties preventing those landowners from building on or using their own land if desired. This encroachment may be caused by dictated safety zones surrounding the turbines, but alternatively, a property owner's personal concerns regarding the safety of people or livestock may alter or prevent plans for structures they would have otherwise built. In collusion, the proposed Goose Creek Wind Project will be detrimental to Piatt County and it's residents on many levels: Safety, property use and property values will be especially affected. As a concerned resident, I ask that the Zoning Board of Appeals vote no on it's recommendation regarding the Goose Creek Wind Project special use permit application. Thank you.

MR. KAINS: Thank you, Ms. Ryan. Questions for this witness from members of the Piatt County Zoning Board of Appeals? Yes, Mr. Harrington.

MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
EXAMINATION BY
MR. HARRINGTON:
Q. Thank you for your testimony. I heard you say you were 2.8 miles from Tower 44?
A. Yes -- so, well, I looked at the Apex map and

I used Google maps and I did the best I could.
Q. I understand. I'm not trying to tie you down. I'm just trying to get a grip on where you're at. Can you give me your address?
A. 3 River Valley Ranch.
Q. Okay. 3 River Valley Ranch?
A. Yes. Near the Sangamon River.
Q. I gotcha. So T-44 and T-64 are the two

```
closest to you; is that right?
```

A. Yes.
Q. I gotcha. All right. Thank you.

MR. KAINS: Any other questions for Ms.
Rutherford from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals? Questions for this witness from members of units of local government including school districts? Questions, Mr. Jacobi?

MR. JACOBI: Thank you. No, sir.
MR. KAINS: Very good. Questions from any other licensed attorneys in the room? Questions from other interested parties, persons in support of or neutral on the application? Questions for Ms. Rutherford from Piatt County staff and consultants? Anything from you, Mr. Leutkehans?

MR. LUETKEHANS: No, sir.

MR. KAINS: Very good. Ms. Rutherford, thank you very much. It's 23 minutes after 9:00. We are going to come back tomorrow night. As a matter of a road map for tomorrow evening, the Piatt County Board has retained the services of an engineer and he has done a report and will present brief testimony on that tomorrow night at 6:00. Following him, the board, while we have somebody considered an expert witness following him, the board has opted to recall several witnesses who have already testified. Dr. David Loomis, Mr. Adam Carlson and Mr. Scott Koziar. They will be questioned -- they've already testified in this hearing. The board has the opportunity to recall them if the board has additional questions. There will not be any opportunity for folks to cross-examine them. They've already been cross-examined. These are just questions from the Zoning Board of Appeals. It will be a very limited time of questioning from the zoning board then after those experts testify, then we will get back to persons in opposition and then persons neutral on the application. When you get here tomorrow night, if you have not testified yet and wish to do so, there is a -- we'd ask that you sign in on those sheets. We are going through all of the sign-in sheets from all of the previous
eleven nights to make sure we don't miss anybody. But if you could do us the favor of, when you come in tomorrow night, if you have not already testified or spoken in a way of public comment, you may if you could please, sign in on the sign-in sheet that Keri will have there for everyone. It is my hope and I think it's everyone's hope, that we have ample time for folks to testify tomorrow night, folks in opposition and folks who are neutral. Once we have that conducted, then we will take up the matters of admission of exhibits. Then we'll accept written comments that have been made. There have been some letters and numerous e-mails. We will not be reading the comments, the written comments, out loud. They will -- they have been copied and will be submitted to the board members. I will, however, read the names of all persons who have submitted something in writing to us. Hopefully, we can get through all of that tomorrow night because Wednesday night we are hopeful to have closing statements from the attorneys for the applicant and also from Mr. Leutkehans. If we don't get through everybody tomorrow night, we'll take them up on Wednesday night because we want everyone to be heard. With that, Mr. Chairman with your consent, we are in recess until tomorrow night at
6:00 in this very building in this very room.
MS. NUSBAUM: We do not have to tear down
tonight.
MR. KAINS: In this room and we don't have to
tear down. This board is in recess. Thank you.
(Whereupon, Recess Taken)
(Whereas, which were all proceedings
had and transcribed for this date.)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT DOUGLAS COUNTY, ILLINOIS

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, MICHELLE D. NIHISER, CSR \#084-004615, an Official Court Reporter for the Circuit Court of Douglas County, Sixth Judicial Circuit of Illinois, reported in machine shorthand the proceedings had on the hearing in the above-entitled cause and transcribed the same by Computer-Aided Transcription, which I hereby certify to be a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings had before the Fiat County Zoning Board of Appeals for the Goose Creek Wind Application.


> Official Court Reporter

Dated this 20th day
of December 2022.

| \# | $\begin{aligned} & 1974{ }_{[1]}-78: 21 \\ & \text { 19th }{ }_{[1]}-4: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{300}[1]-112: 17 \\ & 31_{[1]}-2: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 1 8 5 4}[1]-6: 9 \\ & \mathbf{6 2}{ }_{[1]}-22: 9 \end{aligned}$ | accept ${ }_{[1]}-142: 11$ <br> acceptable [6] - 10:9, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#084-004615 [1] - |  | 3137 [1]-30:24 | $63{ }_{\text {[1] }]-22: 7}$ | 10:18, 34:23, 51:16, |
| 144:6 | 2 | 315[1] - 85:5 | 631 [2] - 31:3, 31:4 | 91:15 |
| 1 | 2 [11]-22:13, 22:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 32[1]-2: 12 \\ & 35[3]-111: 11,119 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathbf{6 4}[1]-135: 24 \\ \mathbf{6 5}[1]-2: 20 \end{array}$ | accepted [1] - 96:10 accommodations [2] |
|  | 11, 53:16, 55:23, | 119:9 | $66[1]-2: 2$ | -60:21, 60:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { [11] }-34: 2,51: 17, \\ & 52: 8,53: 5,70: 10 \\ & 76: 24,89: 7,94: 17, \\ & \text { 106:8, 112:14, } \\ & 131: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 70:10, 77:3, 94:16, | 36[1]-2:14 | $68[1]-2: 21$ | according [2]-53:17, |
|  | 94:18, 95:7, 109:5 $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}{ }_{[1]}-135: 23$ | 39 [1] - 2:15 | $6: 00[3]-1: 3,141: 7,$ | 113:17 |
|  | 2.45 [1] - 135:24 | 4 | 6:15 [1] - 35:7 | 127:2 |
|  | 2.8 [1]-139:23 |  | 6.15 1 - 35.7 | accountable |
| 1,200 [1] - 131:17 | 20 [6]-42:21, 42:22, | 4[2] - 54:22, 108:20 | 7 | 83:14, 85:2 |
| 10 [2]-2:3, 101:22 | 54:15, 62:7, 101:15, | 4-H [1] - 49:21 |  | accurate [6]-8:11, |
| $100[1]-3: 9$ | 113:18 | 4.75 [8]-6:17, 6:18, | 7 [2] - 2:3, 56:13 | 16:9, 16:22, 46:7, |
| 1000 [8]-5:23, 6:8, | 20-something [1] - | 6:22, 6:23, 8:22, | 70 [1]-2:23 | 95:3, 144:12 |
| 6:13, 8:7, 9:1, 21:9, | 49:19 | 9:11, 10:11, 10:15 | 70's [1] - 106:23 | acre [4]-21:24, 52:1, |
| 48:11, 78:24 | $200[1]-19: 22$ | 40 [10]-2:16, 6:21, | 71 [1]-2:23 | 73:12, 87:11 |
| 101 [1]-3:10 | 2008 [1] - 90:19 | 6:23, 7:21, 10:20, | 75 [1] - 111:10 | acreage [2]-15:10, |
| 102[1]-3:10 | 2011[1] - 36:13 | 54:24, 66:21, 66:23, | 754[1] - 15:1 | 46:21 |
| 104[2]-3:12, 12:4 | 2012[1]-84:8 | 71:21 | $78[2]-3: 1,3: 2$ | acres [20]-6:16, 6:17, |
| 105[1]-3:13 | 2014[1]-84:8 | 40-some [1]-71:12 | 787 [1] - 28:23 | 6:18, 6:22, 6:23, |
| $106{ }_{[1]}$ - $3: 14$ | 2015[1] - 85:5 | $400{ }_{[1]}$ - 19:21 | 7:38 [1]-77:12 | 8:22, 9:11, 10:11, |
| $\begin{gathered} 11[4]-2: 4,34: 5, \\ 34: 12,131: 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2018[6]-34: 12, \\ 36: 13,113: 19, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{4 1 4}[1]-39: 23 \\ & \mathbf{4 2}[3]-7: 19,7: 22,8: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 7:48 [1] - 77:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:15, 15:5, 15:8, } \\ & \text { 15:9, 15:14, 29:8, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 110 [1] - 15:9 | 129:5, 131:4, 131:13 | $43_{[1]}^{[1]}$ - $2: 16$ | 8 | 29:14, 31:7, 31:13, |
| 1104[1] - 15:4 | 2020 [2]-82:20, | 44[2]-135:23, 139:23 |  | 31:15, 42:22, 124:5 |
| 118 [1]-3:14 | 106:11 | 45 [8]-2:17, 26:16, | $8{ }_{\text {[1] }}-60: 15$ | Act ${ }_{[1]}$ - $54: 23$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12[3]-2: 4,20: 24, \\ & 107: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2022[3]-4: 2,58: 4, \\ & 144: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77: 20,78: 13 \\ & \text { 100:14, 101:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 800[3]-39: 23,46: 15, \\ & 102: 20 \end{aligned}$ | acting [1] - 130:24 <br> action [1] - 23:11 |
| 12-year [3] - 60:4, | 20th [1] - 144:23 | 106:3, 135:9 | 846 [1]-29:7 | actions [2]-113:6, |
| 62:23, 64:14 | 21 [1]-41:20 | 45-minute [1] - 27:18 | $85[1]-30: 8$ | 116:19 |
| 12/19/2022 [1] - 1:3 | 23 [2]-58:4, 141:2 | 45-minutes [2] - 48:5, | 87 [2]-3:3, 3:4 | activities [2] - 49:16, |
| $120[7]-6: 12,11: 18$, | 2300 [1] - 28:23 | 88:12 | $88{ }_{[1]}$ - 3:5 | 124:11 |
| 11:20, 12:5, 12:7, | 2310[1]-102:20 | 46 [1]-2:17 | 89 [1] - 3:6 | actual [7]-16:16, |
| 12:8, 66:7 | $2399[4]-5: 23,6: 8$, | 47 [2] - 2:19, 42:1 |  | 9:20, 53:4, 53:24, |
| 122 [1]-3:16 | 6:13, 48:11 | $48[3]-2: 19,22: 6$, | 9 | 59:24, 125:9, 133:8 |
| 123 [1]-3:16 | 24[3]-22:1, 34:12, | 111:24 |  | Adam [1] - 141:10 |
| 13 [2]-2:5, 94:19 | 55:22 |  | 900 [1] - 30:24 | adapt [2] - 84:3, 84:12 |
| $1300{ }_{[1]}$ - 78:10 | $2400{ }_{[1]}-15: 1$ | 5 | 92 [2]-60:15, 60:16 | adapted [1] - 84:5 |
| 134 [1]-3:18 | 25 [2]-55:22, 111:8 |  | 94 [1] - 3:6 | add [2]-7:6, 69:11 |
| 135 [1]-3:18 | 250-21019 [1] - 77:2 | $5[4]-2: 2,55: 11$ | 98 [1]-3:8 | addition [7] - 55:21, |
| 136[3]-61:23, | 2692 [1]-78:10 | 56:17, 110:3 | 9:00 [1] - 141:2 | 57:10, 64:20, 137:3, |
| 125:13, 125:14 | $28{ }_{[1]}$ - $2: 9$ | 50 [5]-53:7, 108:4, | 9:23 [1] - 1:3 | 137:19, 138:4, 138:5 |
| $139{ }_{[1]}-3: 19$ | $29[1]-22: 1$ | 118:3, 126:22, 133:9 |  | additional [5] - 18:6, |
| $\begin{gathered} 14[4]-2: 6,41: 20, \\ 110: 6,111: 22 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5 0 0}[2]-37: 24,41: 14 \\ & \mathbf{5 1}[2]-101: 10,104: 17 \end{aligned}$ | A | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 4,66: 12,91: 24 \\ & 141: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14,000 ${ }_{[1]}-124: 5$ |  | 51st [1] - 58:2 | ability [2] - 53:6, 74:8 | address [18]-5:22, |
| $140{ }^{[1]}$ - 12:3 | 3 [9]-54:9, 94:14, | 550 [1] - 19:19 | abject [1] - 60:2 | 6:7, 13:15, 14:24, |
| 15 [8]-40:4, 70:18, | 94:19, 95:8, 121:15, | $585[1]-125: 1$ | able [11] - 13:7, 13:8, | 15:6, 15:8, 28:22, |
| $71: 2,101: 22,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 130:12, 135:21, } \\ & \text { 140:5, 140:6 } \end{aligned}$ | 59 [2]-2:20, 22:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:13, 58:16, 59:14, } \\ & \text { 112:5, 115:1, 115:3, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 1,29: 10,30: 22, \\ & 31: 6,38: 11,39: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { 129:3, } 131: 9$ | $3.5[1]-31: 15$ | 6 | 115:9, 135:16 | 48:9, 81:16, 102:18, |
| $16[3]-2: 7,115: 24,$ | $\begin{gathered} 30[11]-2: 10,2: 11, \\ 30: 8,38: 11,54: 15, \end{gathered}$ | 6[1] - 55:17 | above-entitled [1] - 144:10 | 112:9, 140:4 <br> addressed 41 - 81:22, |
| $18{ }_{[1]}$ - 2:7 | 86:2, 87:13, 101:16, | 600 [2]-91:20, 112:23 | absentee [1] - 52:20 | 81:24, 82:16, 83:18 |
| $19{ }_{\text {[1] }}-2: 8$ | 109:21, 111:4, | 600-foot [3] - 73:3, | absolutely [5] - $28: 2$, | addresses [1] - |
| 1900's [1] - 106:19 | 111:11 | 118:3, 132:17 | 54:7, 56:14, 85:7, | 124:19 |
| 1909 [1] - 41:11 | 30,000 [1] - 127:14 | 610 [2]-19:18, 132:20 | 122:16 | adequately ${ }_{[1]}-83: 19$ |
| 1970's [1] - 106:16 | 30-year [1] - 80:5 | 61842 [1]-31:1 | Academy [1] - 49:13 | adjacent [1]-46:11 |


| ```ADLS [2] - 72:18, 72:20 admissibility [1] - 96:4 admission [1] - 142:10 admitted [3] - 96:12, 96:14, 115:17 admonish [1] - 12:24 adults [1] - 84:10 advantage [1] - 55:4 adversely [1] - 108:16 advice [1]-37:5 aerial [10]-49:2, 53:6, 53:8, 53:14, 83:5, 106:18, 106:20, 107:4, 119:13, 137:18 affect [5] - 21:15, 44:21, 103:10, 108:18, 110:23 affected [6]-21:14, 21:19, 43:13, 57:11, 137:20, 139:12 affecting [2] - 21:12, 108:16 affects [9] - 45:16, 56:7, 84:8, 84:12, 91:6, 91:8, 117:17, 128:19, 129:9 affordable [2] - 54:14, 55:5 afraid [1] - 114:13 afternoon [1] - 20:4 afterwards [2] - 42:5, 58:20 ag [1] - 49:1 age [3]-21:1, 41:19 agencies [1] - 130:22 agenda [2] - 108:19, 111:22 ages [1] - 41:20 ago [6] - 20:22, 56:16, 71:7, 89:2, 117:21 agree [5] - 7:19, 26:3, 27:8, 53:22, 65:18 agreed [2] - 27:10, 113:23 Agreement [1] - 53:18 agreement [9]-27:12, 40:11, 44:19, 52:19, 52:23, 56:12, 111:23, 114:12, 114:13 agriculture [5] - 49:14, 49:21, 83:1, 84:22, 138:6 Agriculture [1] - 53:17 ahead [11] - 16:3, 37:17, 48:14, 69:19,``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 87:8, 88:21, 88:24, } \\ & \text { 98:3, 104:12, } \\ & \text { 104:15, 123:12 } \\ & \text { Aided }[1]-144: 11 \\ & \text { air }[6]-41: 5,74: 14, \\ & 74: 17,106: 18,107: 2 \\ & \text { aircraft }[3]-44: 2, \\ & \text { 44:12, 44:14 } \\ & \text { airplane }[1]-104: 21 \\ & \text { airtight }[1]-41: 1 \\ & \text { Akia }[1]-113: 10 \\ & \text { alien }[1]-108: 9 \\ & \text { aligned }[1]-98: 12 \\ & \text { Alisa }[1]-108: 24 \\ & \text { allegiance }[1]-5: 7 \\ & \text { Allen }[1]-113: 1 \\ & \text { allotment }[1]-97: 18 \\ & \text { allow }[3]-109: 19, \\ & \text { 110:1, } 129: 14 \\ & \text { allowed }[3]-41: 15, \\ & \text { 41:24, } 64: 8 \\ & \text { allowing }[2]-49: 3, \\ & 78: 16 \\ & \text { almost }[4]-22: 5, \\ & 29: 9,57: 4,112: 10 \\ & \text { alone }[2]-118: 3, \\ & \text { 136:20 } \\ & \text { Alta }[1]-132: 10 \\ & \text { alter }[1]-139: 7 \\ & \text { alternative }[1]-20: 19 \\ & \text { alternatively }[1]- \\ & \text { 139:5 } \\ & \text { AMA } \\ & \text { AM }-53: 18,53: 21 \\ & \text { Ameren }[2]-54: 24, \\ & 55: 9 \\ & \text { America }[3]-78: 21, \\ & 79: 8,79: 12 \\ & \text { American }[1]-115: 4 \\ & \text { amount }[6]-43: 3, \\ & 52: 6,99: 3,114: 2, \\ & \text { 137:6, } 137: 11 \\ & \text { ample }[1]-142: 7 \\ & \text { Amplitude }[2]-94: 13, \\ & 94: 15 \\ & \text { Amy }[1]-109: 20 \\ & \text { analysis }[1]-56: 1 \\ & \text { Andrew }[2]-1: 16, \\ & 51: 20 \\ & \text { Andy }[1]-109: 10 \\ & \text { anecdotal }[1]-74: 9 \\ & \text { animal }[1]-84: 20 \\ & \text { animals }[3]-79: 10, \\ & 79: 13,126: 7 \\ & \text { announced }[1]-132: 4 \\ & \text { announcement }[2]- \\ & 20: 23,21: 2 \\ & \text { ANNOUNCER }[3]- \\ & 59: 11,61: 10,61: 21 \\ & \text { annoying }[1]-40: 21 \\ & \text { anonymous }[1]- \\ & \text { and } \end{aligned}$ | ```126:16 answer [8] - 24:16, 65:15, 83:14, 91:4, 95:14, 109:3, 128:21, 132:2 answers [4]-24:5, 92:14, 128:14, 131:24 anti [1] - 60:5 anti-windmill [1] - 60:5 anticipate [2] - 50:17, 56:10 anytime [1]-62:4 anyway [2] - \(52: 8\), 124:9 Apex [77]-21:7, 22:4, 22:11, 22:12, 22:17, 37:13, 38:1, 43:23, 50:11, 54:1, 54:5, 54:12, 54:21, 55:5, 58:8, 58:9, 59:16, 79:10, 79:14, 79:16, 79:21, 79:22, 80:4, 80:18, 80:19, 82:3, 82:5, 82:6, 82:7, 82:9, 82:13, 82:22, 85:1, 85:10, 85:14, 89:2, 90:7, 90:17, 99:2, 99:9, 99:16, 100:1, 108:11, 108:17, 108:20, 109:7, 109:10, 109:12, 109:17, 111:24, 112:4, 112:6, 112:18, 113:6, 113:9, 114:11, 114:16, 114:19, 114:21, 114:23, 115:2, 115:8, 115:13, 116:17, 117:2, 117:13, 124:20, 125:13, 126:5, 127:4, 127:18, 130:3, 132:19, 137:10, 139:24 apex [1] - 59:19 Apex's [12]-17:12, 19:22, 52:13, 55:23, 56:15, 56:17, 80:16, 115:16, 126:2, 126:9, 128:17, 132:15 apologize [3]-23:10, 29:5, 124:7 apparent [1]-89:9 appeal [2] - 65:1, 65:2 appealing [2] - 127:15, 127:17``` |  | 129:10 <br> Aquifer [4]-128:15, 128:18, 128:24, 129:5 <br> arch [1] - 108:3 <br> Arctic [1] - 128:7 <br> area [26] - 9:9, 10:14, 20:6, 20:7, 41:5, 41:15, 43:9, 44:14, 44:19, 53:5, 53:8, 55:13, 79:2, 79:8, 80:24, 98:14, 99:13, 107:11, 109:14, 109:16, 111:15, 114:22, 117:6, 135:22, 136:6, 137:20 <br> areas [4]-20:15, $83: 12,107: 4,108: 12$ <br> argue [3]-51:2, 55:9, 56:20 <br> argument $[1]$ - 50:14 <br> arising $[1]-56: 10$ <br> Arrowsmith [2] - $\text { 19:21, } 90: 21$ <br> article [5] - 64:18, 89:9, 89:10, 89:12, 126:24 <br> articles [7]-94:20, 95:3, 95:7, 95:12, 95:20, 96:3 <br> assert [1] - 62:10 <br> Assessment [2] - <br> 51:15, 56:16 <br> assessments [2] - 38:17, 38:22 <br> assistance [1] - 85:10 associated [3] - $28: 24,51: 1,54: 4$ <br> assume [2] - 17:8, 88:21 <br> assuming [2] - 48:5, 124:19 <br> Assure [1] - 52:9 <br> AT\&T [5]-106:14, 106:17, 106:21, 119:3, 119:4 <br> attachment ${ }_{[1]}$ - 77:5 <br> attendance [2] - $57: 20,110: 7$ <br> attended [5] - 109:9, 110:5, 111:21, 112:10, 118:4 <br> attending [2]-57:17, <br> 115:18 <br> attention [2]-52:13, 93:16 <br> attorney [1] - 71:15 <br> Attorney [1] - 109:20 <br> attorneys [26] - 7:13, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| ```cases [1] - 60:10 cash [2] - 49:2, 52:19 CASSIE [2]-3:7, 98:1 Cassie [3] - \(3: 8\), 97:16, 98:1 catch [2]-19:23, 120:16 category [1]-22:3 cats [1] - 32:21 cattle [3]-8:21, 9:5, 49:3 caught [1]-132:16 caused [5] - 53:20, 81:23, 131:19, 136:18, 139:4 causing [1] - 131:14 cautious [1] - 125:18 Celebration [1] - 112:15 cell \({ }_{[2]}\) - 101:19, 101:21 Cemetery [1] - 93:6 Center [2]-51:5, 130:7 Central [5]-84:10, 116:7, 126:20, 127:12, 131:19 Cerro [1] - 93:3 certain [3]-55:1, 57:2, 137:6 certainly [5] - 22:2, 22:12, 33:13, 91:21, 138:7``` <br> CERTIFICATE ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> Chairman [4]-1:9, <br> 71:5, 71:13, 123:13 <br> chamber [1] - 130:24 <br> CHAMBERS [1] - 4:16 <br> Chambers [2]-1:9, <br> 4:15 <br> Champaign [6] 110:5, 111:3, 111:6, 120:24, 121:6, 121:7 <br> chance [3]-13:17, 95:13, 135:18 <br> change [9] - 49:5, $\begin{gathered} \text { 50:21, 50:24, 51:3, } \\ \text { 81:6,84:2, 101:22, } \\ \text { 107:18, 108:4 } \\ \text { changed [2]-54:5, } \\ \text { 136:2 } \\ \text { changes }[4]-9: 10, \\ 82: 13,83: 3,108: 1 \\ \text { Channel }[2]-121: 15, \end{gathered}$ | ```130:12 chaotic [1]-108:9 Chapin [5] - 58:2, 58:3, 59:12, 59:16, 69:14 CHAPIN [3] - 59:20, 61:11, 62:2 character [1] - 133:20 Characterization [1] - 94:12 charge [2] - 50:1, 50:5 chasing [4]-60:4, 60:7, 62:23, 64:14 check [1] - 57:6 checking \({ }_{[1]}\)-127:16 CHERENE [2] - 3:9, 101:1 cherene [1] - 100:9 Cherene [1] - 101:1 chickens [2]-78:24, 79:1 Chief [3] - 114:1, 130:11, 130:12 chief [1] - 115:7 children [5]-41:19, 49:18, 49:23, 81:1, 84:12 children's [1] - 85:12 China [1] - 81:24 choice [1]-88:14 choose [3]-55:16, 56:22, 98:19 chosen [1]-50:16 Chris [4]-113:17, 130:13, 130:16, 131:3 Christian [1] - 49:13 Christmas [1]-129:17 Cindy [2] - 34:23, 36:5 CINDY [2] - 2:13, 36:6 CIRCUIT [2] - 144:1 Circuit [2] - 144:7, 144:8 citizens [6] - 79:21, 82:10, 85:21, 92:18, 118:12, 128:8 City [16] - 30:24, 39:24, 49:17, 72:17, 123:5, 123:6, 123:7, 123:8, 123:16, 123:20, 123:23, 125:10, 125:16, 125:17, 129:14, 129:16 city [4]-116:14, 118:23, 118:24, 119:1 City-Mansfield \({ }_{[1]}\) - 123:23 claims [2]-50:24,``` | 54:5 clarification $[3]-$ $27: 23,35: 19,96: 15$ clarifications $[1]-$ 43:16 clarify $[4]-22: 23$, $23: 8,35: 16,69: 1$ class $[1]-123: 21$ classmates $[1]-$ 123:20 Claudia $[2]-77: 19$, $78: 7$ CLAUDIA $[2]-3: 1$, $78: 7$ clause $[1]-112: 3$ clear $[8]-10: 11,23: 2$, $25: 21,45: 11,52: 20$, $71: 14,72: 8,77: 7$ clearly $[2]-24: 6$, $78: 19$ client $[4]-23: 12$, $23: 21,59: 4,124: 16$ climate $[1]-49: 5$ Climate $[1]-54: 23$ climbed $[1]-119: 12$ clinics $[1]-50: 1$ clip $[3]-58: 1,58: 14$, $64: 6$ Clip $[2]-2: 20,59: 10$ clock $[1]-134: 14$ close $[24]-17: 5$, $18: 24,21: 18,22: 10$, $32: 4,40: 15,46: 10$, $53: 16,58: 1,65: 11$, $65: 12,65: 20,65: 24$, $67: 13,87: 19,91: 11$, $92: 7,92: 12,102: 10$, $103: 1,105: 5$, $113: 23,116: 1$, $136: 21$ closed $[4]-35: 16$, $35: 17,35: 23,125: 15$ closer $[4]-15: 23$, $93: 14,105: 1$ closest $[4]-65: 12$, $66: 4,102: 23,140: 9$ closing $[7]-11: 22$, $13: 5,13: 16,24: 20$, $50: 14,93: 16,142: 19$ Club $[1]-49: 12$ Clubs $[1]-49: 21$ clusters $[1]-131: 11$ coal $[1]-62: 5$ code $[1]-31: 1$ Coil $[9]-3: 2,77: 19$, $78: 7,86: 7,86: 8$, $86: 9,86: 12,86: 17$ coIL $[3]-3: 1,77: 22$, $78: 15$ coil $[2]-78: 16,86: 20$ | ```COILE [5] - 3:13, 105:12, 106:4, 106:5, 114:9 Coile [11]-3:14, 105:10, 105:18, 105:20, 106:3, 114:7, 118:14, 118:15, 118:21, 122:9 collaborations [1] - 128:10 collateral [2] - 80:8, 87:18 collusion [2]-116:17, 139:8 colored [1] - 9:8 combination [1] - 125:22 combined [1] - 112:22 combines [1] - 125:21 comfort [2]-136:16, 137:9 coming [15]-19:11, 19:17, 42:9, 42:14, 44:24, 55:5, 63:14, 63:20, 93:14, 95:11, 101:24, 104:21, 109:7, 109:11, 109:13 comitted [1] - 49:7 comment [41]-13:4, 13:9, 22:24, 23:4, 23:17, 23:22, 24:5, 24:8, 25:5, 25:7, 25:8, 25:15, 25:24, 26:13, 26:14, 27:12, 27:23, 28:1, 28:8, 30:3, 33:12, 33:21, 34:20, 35:11, 35:12, 39:11, 48:3, 64:14, 74:14, 74:18, 77:21, 86:22, 86:24, 97:20, 97:21, 100:15, 104:9, 104:11, 122:12, 134:13, 142:4 Comments [16] - 2:5, 2:8, 2:10, 2:14, 2:16, 2:19, 2:23, 3:2, 3:4, 3:6, 3:8, 3:10, 3:12, 3:14, 3:16, 3:18 comments [20]-13:3, 13:16, 14:12, 25:20, 50:15, 50:17, 50:19, 50:21, 58:7, 63:4, 64:10, 66:9, 69:13, 95:21, 95:22, 98:11, 142:11, 142:13 COMMENTS [16] - 13:23, 19:16, 30:5,``` | ```36:4, 40:6, 48:15, 71:3, 78:15, 87:10, 89:1, 98:4, 101:8, 104:13, 106:4, 123:10, 135:10 commerce [1] - 130:24 commercial [1] - 101:14 commercially \({ }_{[1]}\) - 85:23 commission [1] - 71:11 commissioner [3] - 38:5, 38:7, 115:5 commissioners [3] - 38:14, 43:8, 43:10 commit [1] - 86:1 Committee [1] - 49:18 committee [2] - 36:8, 54:20 common [4]-108:14, 127:12, 132:2, 138:12 communications [1] - 121:12 communities [6] - 55:6, 60:11, 61:6, 85:4, 85:6, 117:5 community [16] - 44:22, 48:9, 49:8, 49:11, 49:16, 50:6, 50:10, 60:1, 60:23, 72:24, 73:1, 99:12, 99:20, 99:24, 100:3, 123:4 compacted \({ }_{[1]}-83: 2\) companies [8] - 62:24, 63:13, 69:15, 93:10, 99:19, 126:21, 127:5, 133:17 company [13] - 36:22, 36:23, 59:20, 60:3, 60:17, 73:10, 74:23, 80:20, 82:14, 85:2, 116:18, 128:1, 131:24 comparable [1] - 125:6 compensate [2] - 82:24, 83:10 compensated [2] - 53:18, 83:8 compensation [1] - 40:11 compete [1]-85:19 competitive [1]-55:2 complained [1] - 40:18``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```complaints [6] - 36:15, 36:16, 36:17, 36:19, 37:18, 83:21 complete [3]-60:12, 91:19, 99:18 completed [1] - 113:12 completely [5] - 37:3, 63:16, 69:4, 80:19, 136:20 completion [2] - 116:23, 117:13 complex[3]-82:21, 124:5, 127:23 Comprehensive [1] - 34:3 comprehensive [3] - 50:19, 56:1, 128:14 compromised [1] - 56:8 compromising [1] - 131:19 Computer [1] - 144:11 Computer-Aided [1] - 144:11 computers [2] - 101:23 con[1]-118:13 concept [1] - 12:12 concern [4]-21:4, 21:22,54:20, 93:7 concerned [8] - 45:19, 113:15, 114:1, 114:10, 115:16, 117:2, 117:18, 139:12 concerning [13] - 109:17, 109:22, 110:11, 111:2, 111:7, 112:8, 113:11, 115:19, 118:7, 120:15, 121:13, 129:11, 136:24 concerns [17] - 17:15, 21:10, 66:3, 66:8, 66:10, 82:15, 106:9, 109:1, 110:7, 110:10, 111:14, 115:2, 126:3, 126:11, 128:17, 136:4, 139:6 conclude [2]-54:19, 57:20 concluding [1] - 115:22 conclusion [2] - 85:16, 115:21 concrete [3] - 99:4, 127:14, 127:16``` |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { consultants }[13]- \\ & \text { 10:4, 18:18, 30:2, } \\ & 33: 9,47: 12,68: 20, \\ & 76: 10,86: 18,97: 11, \\ & \text { 104:2, 122:5, 134:8, } \\ & \text { 140:22 } \\ & \text { consulted }[1]-17: 9 \\ & \text { consulting }[1]-75: 5 \\ & \text { contact }[2]-20: 9, \\ & \text { 52:14 } \\ & \text { contacted }[2]-17: 9, \\ & \text { 108:24 } \\ & \text { contained }[1]-126: 4 \\ & \text { containing }[1]- \\ & \text { 138:11 } \\ & \text { contamination }[5]- \\ & 116: 5,129: 8, \\ & 131: 10,131: 12, \\ & 131: 16 \\ & \text { continue }[4]-43: 4, \\ & 52: 4,123: 18,127: 5 \\ & \text { continuing }[1]-90: 20 \\ & \text { continuous }[1]-43: 6 \\ & \text { continuously }[1]- \\ & 44: 9 \\ & \text { contract }[1]-43: 4 \\ & \text { contracts }[2]-37: 2, \\ & 83: 22 \\ & \text { Contrary }[1]-50: 7 \\ & \text { contributions }[1]- \\ & 49: 16 \\ & \text { Control }[5]-74: 21, \\ & 75: 2,75: 8,83: 17 \\ & \text { control }[1]-72: 15 \\ & \text { controls }[1]-74: 22 \\ & \text { conversations }[1]- \\ & 115: 19 \\ & \text { cooperate }[1]-92: 1 \\ & \text { cooperating }[1]- \\ & 92: 15 \\ & \text { Coordinator }[4]- \\ & 130: 13,130: 14, \\ & 130: 17,131: 3 \\ & \text { copied }[1]-142: 14 \\ & \text { copy }[5]-10: 11,67: 2, \\ & 70: 11,70: 14,135: 13 \\ & \text { corn }[1]-52: 1 \\ & \text { corner }[1]-132: 18 \\ & \text { cornfield }[1]-107: 16 \\ & \text { corporate }[1]-118: 22 \\ & \text { corporation }[1]- \\ & 79: 17 \\ & \text { Correct }[1]-135: 4 \\ & \text { correct }[22]-7: 2,8: 9, \\ & 9: 7,9: 14,9: 19, \\ & 10: 15,10: 16,16: 21, \\ & 44: 5,65: 20,68: 2, \\ & 68: 4,69: 3,75: 4, \\ & 88: 23,101: 3,101: 5, \\ & 105: 12,105: 23, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ```115:6, 116:23, 117:3, 118:11, 122:5, 123:1, 123:2, 124:1, 124:3, 124:4, 124:10, 124:13, 124:21, 124:23, 125:1, 125:2, 125:4, 125:9, 125:12, 126:12, 126:17, 126:23, 127:3, 128:3, 128:13, 129:22, 130:1, 130:6, 130:16, 131:5, 131:21, 132:3, 132:5, 132:8, 132:12, 132:22, 133:13, 133:23, 134:8, 135:6, 135:19, 139:10, 139:17, 140:22, 141:4, 144:8, 144:13 county [35] - 36:12, 37:13, 37:17, 38:3, 38:5, 38:6, 40:1, 54:20, 57:16, 61:9, 62:22, 70:2, 70:18, 71:23, 72:3, 72:14, 73:20, 73:21, 74:3, 80:7, 80:13, 81:10, 85:16, 90:14, 93:19, 93:20, 93:24, 94:1, 98:6, 108:18, 116:10, 117:10, 119:2, 133:19, 135:5 COUNTY [6] - 1:1, 1:5, 1:8, 1:12, 1:15, 144:2 county's [1] - 136:6 County's [2]-86:2, 131:17 couple [7]-34:1, 43:16, 59:12, 61:21, 72:1, 75:10, 132:7 courage [1]-85:5 course [4]-23:11, 43:4, 91:21, 120:20 Court [4]-26:4, 144:7, 144:21 COURT [9] - 1:20, 14:20, 58:18, 59:1, 59:7, 77:13, 88:6, 88:8, 144:1 court [5] - 5:20, 14:16, 28:14, 47:23, 105:14 cover [3]-48:24, 49:2, 73:11 coverage [1] - 118:2 covered [4]-56:12, 119:9, 129:3, 133:19 covering [3]-50:14,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { 124:5, 132:17 } \\ \text { covers }[1]-49: 3 \end{gathered}$ | D | decarbonize $[1]$ - 133:5 | destroyed $[1]$ - 127:20 <br> detailed [1] - 72:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74:11, 91:7, 113:5, } \\ & \text { 117:11, 117:22 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { cow/calf [2] - 12:18, } \\ & \text { 12:20 } \\ & \text { crane }[2]-42: 15, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { daily }[1]-129: 20 \\ & \text { dairy }[1]-78: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December [5] - 4:2, }, \\ & 20: 24,110: 3, \\ & 131: 13,144: 24 \end{aligned}$ | detect ${ }_{[1]}$ - 116:13 detection [2]-44:2, 44:12 | discussion [2] 109:6, 109:16 <br> disheartening [1] - |
| 53:20 | :7, 53:20, 53:24 | decibel [3] - 32:3 | determine [1] - 82:3 | 09 |
| crap [1]-106:22 | :1, 80:8, 81:22 | 10:15, 110:20 | detriment ${ }_{[1]}$ - 102:2 | dismiss [1] - 82:17 |
| crazy [1] - 127:9 | 85:1, 125:13, 126:6, | decide [1] - 102:3 | detrimental [3] | dismissed [1] - 82:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { create }[4]-11: 22, \\ & 83: 19,85: 1,117: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 131:15 <br> damaged [1]-21:6 | decided [2] - 107:13, 112:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 117:17, 136:15, } \\ & 139: 9 \end{aligned}$ | disorienting [1] 20:13 |
| creates [1] - 81:13 | damages [2] - 79:12, | decision [10]-25:16, | detrimentally [1] | dispensable [1] - 80:7 |
| creation [1] - 90:20 | 7:18 | 18, 57:18, 63:7 | 37:20 | dispose [1] - 81:20 |
| creative [1] - 85:10 | danger [1]-32:3 | 17, 86:1, 100:5 | devalue [1] - 74:7 | disregard [2]-127:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { credentialed }[1] \text { - } \\ & 64: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Danville }[2]-111: 15, \\ & 121: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 102:8, 105:7, 115:1 } \\ \text { decisions [1] - 99:22 } \end{array}$ | devastating ${ }_{[1]}$ - 126:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 127:8 } \\ & \text { disregarded }[1]-63: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| credible [2] - 63:1 | daring [1] - 60 | deck [2] - 30:8, 107:23 | developed [3] - 20 | disruption [1] - 83:3 |
| :1 | dark [1] - 9:12 | declarations [1] - | 20:21, 113:6 | distance [4]-16:12, |
| credits [1] - 79:18 | darker ${ }_{[2]}$-9:8, 67:5 | 17:2 | developers [1] - 50:23 | 16:16, 102:23, |
| Creek [10]-5:11, 90:1, | data [5]-91:12, | declined [1]-114:23 | developing [1] - 126:4 | 135:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 92:23, 93:7, 93:13, } \\ & \text { 135:22, 136:11, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 131:10, 131:11, } \\ & \text { 131:1, 137:8 } \end{aligned}$ | decommissioned ${ }^{[1]}$ - $127: 17$ | development [8] - | distinction [2]-25:4, 67:18 |
| 139:9, 139:14, | date [4]-38:22, 55:1, | decommissioning $[9]$ | 116:18, 119:15, | distinguish [1] - 24:23 |
| 144:14 | 110:19, 143:8 | - 54:11, 54:19, | 119:16, 138:22, | distorted [1] - 113:19 |
| CREEK ${ }_{[1]}-1: 2$ | Dated [1] - 144:23 | $54: 21,68: 10,68: 12,$ | 138:24 | District [5]-58:2, |
| crickets [1] - 128: criteria [2] - 74:4, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { daughter }[2]-74: 16 \\ & 114: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 117:8, 136:3 | diameter [1] - 19:18 dictated [1] - 139:4 | $\begin{aligned} & 106: 8,112: 14, \\ & 123: 23,130: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 85:19 | David [3] - 79:16, | decrease [2]-138:9 | differ [1] - 80:18 | district [2]-59:22, |
| crop [10] - 9:15, 49:3, | 0:9, 141:10 | 138:13 | difference [2]-93:23 | 138:24 |
| 53:13, 53:19, 54:3, | day-to-day [1] - 55:21 | decreased [6]-21:24, | different [16]-6:23, | districts [13]-7:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:8, 67:22, 83:2, } \\ & 126: 6,137: 16 \end{aligned}$ | Days [1] - 49:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 11,83: 2,83: 5, \\ & 113: 3,138: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:7, 16:24, 21:15, } \\ & \text { 25:14, 37:3, 41:21, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:9, 29:20, 32:9, } \\ & 47: 3,66: 15,76: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| crops [5] - 48:24, | 138:17 | deep [1] - 48:1 | 69:2, 69:4, 73: | $\text { 86:11, 94:6, } 103: 18$ |
| 49:2, 53:7, 79:9, | D | definite [1]-55:1 | 24, 110 | 18:17, 134:2, |
| 107:18 | 4, 57:3, 57:8, | definitely [3] - 34:22 | 110:16, 121:14 | 140:15 |
| cross [18] - 7:15, 11:1, | :2, 71:5, 71:6, | 91:20, 125:3 | differently [1] - 58:10 | disturbance [1] - |
| 23:22, 24:2, 24:16, | 71:9, 71:13, 73:9, | definition [1]-79:8 | difficult [1] - 84:3 | 136:18 |
| 25:8, 25:11, 26:2, | 75:18, 75:21, 85:24, | definitive [1]-55:3 | diminish [1] - 22:14 | DNR[1] - 83:16 |
| 26:5, 26:12, 26:20, | 98:13, 98:15, | defunct [1]-81:5 | diminished [1] - 138:2 | Document [1]-89:7 |
| 27:14, 27:16, 56:5, | 0:14, 101:2, | delay [1] - 38:21 | diminishing ${ }_{[1]}$ - 43:3 | document [6] - 51:13, |
| 93:5, 96:2, 141:15, | 1, 123:2, | deliberations [2] | direct ${ }_{[1]}$ - 52:12 | 52:15, 89:6, 112:2 |
| 141:16 | 123:24, 124:10, | 37:10, 39:3 | Direct [4]-2:2, 2:6, | documents [3] - 70:3, |
| cross-examination [9] | 125:2, 125:4, 125:5, | demand [1] - 138:18 | 2:9, 2:11 | 72:2, 89:11 |
| - 24:2, 25:8, 25:11, | 126:12, 126:17, | Demarco [1] - 108:24 | dirt [3]-21:9, 42:13, | dollars [1] - 79:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 2,26: 5,26: 12, \\ & 26: 20,27: 14,27: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:23, 127:3, } \\ & \text { 128:13, 129:13, } \end{aligned}$ | demolition [1] - 54:10 <br> demonstrated $[1]$ - | $127: 15$ | domestic [1] - 91:8 <br> donating [1] - 49:11 |
| cross-examine [3] - | 129:14, 129:22 | $137$ |  | $\text { done }[20]-12: 24,$ |
| 24:16, 96:2, 141:15 | 131:13, 132:3, | deniers [1] - 49:5 | disagree [2] - 11:24, | 14:6, 37:7, 60:19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { cross-examined }{ }_{[2]} \text { - } \\ & 23: 22,141: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $132: 5,132: 8,132: 12$ | Dennis [1] - 109:15 | 30:6 | 60:20, 75:6, 75:7, <br> 76:12, 83:20, 84:1 |
| 23:22, 141:16 crow 1$]-57: 5$ | deal [6] $-38: 8,90: 4$, 90:5, 91:1, 92:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { denote }[1]-24: 7 \\ & \text { deny }[2]-22: 17,118: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { disapprove }{ }_{[1]} \\ & 130: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 12,83: 20,84: 1 \\ & 84: 8,84: 10,89: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| CSR [2] - 1:20, 144:6 current [3]-85:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 124:14 } \\ & \text { dealing }{ }_{[1]}-38: \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Department }[1] \text { - }$ $115: 6$ | disasters [1] - 60:2 <br> disconnect ${ }^{11]}$ - 37:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:17, 110:15, } \\ & \text { 114:17, 116:16, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 133:4, 133:16 | deals [1] - 116:22 |  | discount ${ }_{[1]}$ - 130:5 | 118:13, 119:8, 141:5 |
| curtail [1] - 121:20 | dealt [2] - 38:4, 74:20 | $133: 19$ | discovering [1] | door [2]-80:17, |
| curtailments [1] - | dearly [1] - 126:24 | describes [1] - 82:19 | 129:1 | 129:20 |
| 116:8 | death [1] - 137:21 | description [1] - 79:19 | discuss [1] - 112:5 | doors [1] - 60:6 |
| cut [2]-124:16, | debated [1] - 136:19 | desirable [1] - 21:3 | discussed [5] - 56:16, | Doppler [6]-113:19, |
| 127:19 | Debbie [1] - 87:24 | desired [1] - 139:3 | 66:3, 72:16, 115:21, | 113:23, 116:2, |
| cutting [1] - 93:3 | DEBBIE [1] - 88:2 | despite [1] - 128:16 | 118:1 | 121:10, 131:8, 137:5 |
| cycles [1] - 132:7 | debris [1] - 125:21 | destroy [1] - 116:14 | discussing [5] - | dot [2] - 87:12, 132:19 |



| ```110:12 experiences [2] - 125:11, 131:23 expert [28]-19:23, 21:13, 78:19, 78:20, 84:6, 89:2, 90:12, 90:17, 90:22, 90:23, 91:1, 91:9, 91:22, 92:9, 92:11, 95:1, 95:4, 95:9, 95:15, 96:3, 106:7, 115:17, 120:13, 121:11, 121:17, 125:6, 141:8 Expert [1]-126:2 expertise \([1]\) - 130:19 experts [12]-53:22, 82:7, 90:11, 95:22, 127:19, 128:1, 128:13, 128:15, 128:17, 129:1, 132:1, 141:19 expire [1]-117:7 explained [1] - 109:1 express [1]-21:4 expressed [2] - 105:10, 109:24 extend [1] - 20:5 extensions [1] - 37:17 extent [2] - 34:18, 95:5 extinguished [1] - 137:1 extra [1] - 107:5 extremely [2] - 78:17, 125:18 eye [1] - 132:16 F F-E-R-G-U-S-O-N \({ }_{[1]}\) - 71:1 FAA [1] - 72:20 face [3]-53:14, 55:12, 129:19 Facebook [3] - 115:24, 116:17, 126:17 faces [1] - 93:23 facetious [1]-61:7 FACILITATOR [1] - 1:7 facilities [2]-8:19, 9:6 facility \([2]-8: 21\), 52:11 fact \([9]-11: 15,37: 22\), 41:3, 42:9, 48:18, 54:16, 54:21, 57:10, 115:16 failed [2] - 81:4, 81:16 fails [1] - 50:11``` | ```failure \({ }_{[2]}-54: 4\), 113:11 fair [6] - 23:20, 26:20, 27:5, 56:8, 92:17, 96:22 fairly [1] - 106:12 fall [1] - 117:10 familiar [1]-58:7 families [3]-50:3, 63:23, 80:4 family \([7]-88: 10\), 98:12, 98:14, 110:12, 110:22, 123:17, 123:18 family's [2] - 50:4, 123:17 far [5] - 31:24, 50:8, 103:3, 112:20 Farm [5] - 90:1, 90:18, 94:12, 94:15, 113:22 farm [52]-21:21, 29:14, 40:10, 43:1, 43:19, 48:22, 49:23, 52:2, 52:5, 52:18, 55:17, 55:22, 56:5, 58:5, 68:7, 71:22, 72:15, 72:17, 73:14, 79:6, 79:8, 87:16, 90:4, 92:7, 93:7, 93:10, 98:18, 99:3, 99:4, 99:6, 108:17, 109:5, 109:13, 109:16, 110:13, 111:7, 113:5, 113:6, 113:21, 113:22, 117:22, 118:3, 118:9, 123:18, 123:20, 124:12, 125:8, 125:17, 131:5, 131:6 farmed [4] - 31:12, 78:22, 123:15 farmer [4]-21:5, 31:14, 33:2, 57:14 Farmer [14]-30:24, 49:16, 123:5, 123:6, 123:7, 123:8, 123:16, 123:20, 123:22, 125:10, 125:15, 125:17, 129:14, 129:16 farmer's [2]-57:3, 57:8 farmers [10] - 52:18, 53:22, 99:6, 112:12, 114:16, 114:18, 125:17, 126:1, 127:12, 137:17 Farmers [1]-53:5 farmhouse [2]-98:16,``` |  | ```field [13]-42:1, 53:12, 53:13, 67:12, 67:19, 125:21, 125:22, 125:24, 126:3, 126:8, 128:3, 128:5 fields [6] - 20:11, 53:15, 67:13, 68:7, 125:19 fifteen [1] - 77:12 fighting [2]-116:4, 116:7 figure [2] - 76:20, 121:1 Final [1] - 122:8 final [6] - 11:8, 19:10, 57:18, 69:8, 97:14, 100:5 finally [2] - 56:24, 57:19 financial [4] - 49:15, 55:7, 80:20, 82:22 financially [1] - 57:10 financing [1] - 130:2 findings [3] - 37:22, 81:24, 82:17 fine [6] - 13:1, 25:3, 67:1, 67:3, 77:13, 77:14 fines [1]-127:1 finish [2] - 38:15, 57:16 Fire [1] - 115:6 fire [8] - 41:8, 115:7, 126:3, 126:5, 126:6, 126:8, 136:23, 137:1 fires \([1]\) - 137:2 firm [1] \(-75: 5\) First \({ }_{[1]}\) - 89:21 first \([31]-5: 19,7: 17\), 9:2, 9:5, 10:24, 21:10, 24:5, 34:2, 36:2, 36:12, 39:18, 47:22, 50:20, 70:24, 78:6, 87:5, 96:14, 97:23, 97:24, 98:5, 100:23, 105:19, 106:17, 108:16, 113:4, 121:8, 122:22, 123:21, 128:16, 134:23, 135:15 firsthand [2] - 36:10, 80:15 \\ Fish [1] - 83:16 \\ Fisher [1]-61:24 \\ five \([5]\) - \(21: 24,25: 22\), \[ 31: 7,49: 21,57: 4 \] \\ fix [1] - 132:9 \\ fixed [2] - 55:18, 56:1 flag \([3]-4: 6,5: 8\)``` | ```flags [1] - 125:24 flashing [3]-41:4, 73:2, 129:12 flicker [18] - 14:6, 19:24, 20:2, 20:3, 20:5, 20:10, 41:24, 61:15, 82:11, 83:9, 91:13, 91:14, 91:16, 110:8, 137:10, 137:12, 137:15, 138:4 flies [1] - 57:5 flip [1] - 101:20 flooding [1] - 53:19 flop [1] - 74:17 flowing [1] - 79:11 flows [1] - 79:7 flyers [1] - 60:5 folks [29]-5:9, 10:2, 13:12, 24:15, 26:9, 33:23, 40:12, 60:8, 62:3, 64:11, 69:19, 69:23, 73:17, 75:19, 77:11, 77:17, 88:11, 92:6, 92:15, 108:15, 112:20, 119:17, 126:18, 127:11, 141:15, 142:7, 142:8 follow [4] - 18:20, 51:8, 83:20, 127:7 follow-up [1] - 83:20 followed [1] - 133:14 following [4] - 4:3, 58:5, 141:7, 141:8 follows [1] - 136:13 foot [1] - 119:24 footprint [12]-71:21, 81:14, 92:3, 98:12, 119:24, 124:6, 124:10, 126:16, 127:21, 129:22, 132:15, 132:18 FOR 44\(]-1: 2,1: 15\), 1:17, 1:18 FORAN \({ }_{[1]}-4: 18\) Foran [2] - 1:10, 4:17 forbid [1] - 116:14 Force [2] - 129:5, 129:7 forced [1] - 53:7 Ford [9] - 36:6, 36:7, 36:10, 40:2, 40:3, 41:24, 43:22, 44:15, 116:23 foreign [1] - 63:13 forever [1]-73:2 forgot [2]-18:24, 67:4 form [1] - 81:21 former [1]-131:2 forth [1] - 42:2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```forward [4]-23:9, 38:1, 42:6, 43:7 fossil [1] - 133:10 fought [1] - 137:3 foundation [1] - 64:4 four [6]-21:8, 29:9, 29:14, 41:19, 42:23, 127:16 fourths [1] - 22:6 free [4]-50:5, 56:23, 78:24 frequencies [1] - 74:24 frequency \([1]\) - 110:23 friendly [1] - 125:3 friends [2]-49:7, 112:12 front [1]-17:19 fuel \([2]\) - 84:22, 133:10 fuels [1] - 84:19 full [3]-21:9, 55:20, 117:15 full-time [2] - 55:20, 117:15 function [1]-137:5 funded [2]-79:18, 133:12 fundraising [1] - 49:12 furnace [1] - 40:19 fusion [2]-21:1 future [8]-54:19, 58:11, 80:24, 83:11, 86:2, 129:7, 136:3```G <br> G-A-L-L-A-G-H-E-R <br> [2]-5:21, 48:1 <br> G-A-N-T-Z $[1]-14: 23$ <br> G-A-R-R-E-T-T $[1]-$ <br> 28:21 <br> gained $[1]-127: 9$ <br> gallagher $[1]-88: 10$ <br> GALLAGHER $[18]-$ <br> 2:2, 2:18, 3:5, 7:7, <br> 13:20, 13:23, 13:24, <br> 48:15, 48:16, 51:23, <br> 56:15, 57:24, 58:15, <br> 62:15, 69:12, 88:14, <br> 89:1, 89:21 <br> Gallagher $[59]-2: 5$, <br> 2:19, 3:6, 5:15, 5:18, <br> 5:21, 5:22, 7:5, 7:9, <br> 7:10, 8:1, 9:22, 10:3, <br> 10:10, 10:13, 11:3, <br> 11:10, 12:22, 12:23, <br> 13:19, 47:15, 47:16, <br> 47:24, 48:8, 51:17, <br> 51:22, 58:13, 62:13, |  | ```91:24, 95:23, 102:24, 109:17, 115:4, 115:6, 115:11, 117:5, 124:4 glad [3] - 116:8, 132:12, 132:14 goal [1] - 80:18 goals [1] - 80:19 God[1] - 116:14 Goliath [3] - 79:16, 90:9 gonna [5]-61:12, 61:13, 62:4, 71:19, 77:22 Google [1] - 140:1 Googled [1] - 113:9 Goose [10] - 5:11, 90:1, 92:23, 93:7, 93:13, 135:22, 136:11, 139:9, 139:14, 144:14 GOOSE[1]-1:2 Gordo [1] - 93:3 gotcha [7] - 16:11, 16:21, 17:15, 46:22, 66:2, 140:8, 140:11 gotta [1] - 73:18 government [15] - 7:11, 18:9, 29:19, 32:9, 47:3, 66:14, 76:1, 79:20, 86:10, 94:5, 103:18, 118:16, 131:1, 134:1, 140:15 governmental [1] - 130:21 grade [1] - 123:21 graduating[1] - 123:21 grain [4] - 57:3, 57:9, 118:5, 125:16 grandchildren [1] - 81:2 grandfather [1] - 123:19 grandly [1] - 126:6 grandma [1] - 123:20 grandparent's [1] - 98:16 grandparents [1] - 123:15 grant [3] - 115:4, 115:6, 115:11 granted [1] - 74:5 grants [4]-84:24, 109:17, 115:2, 117:5 gravel [1] - 126:13 graze [1] - 49:3 great [10]-50:14, 77:14, 112:4,``` |  | ```half-full [1]-21:9 halfway [1] - 46:17 hall [1] - 124:20 hand \([12]-6: 4,14: 16\), 28:14, 30:18, 39:14, 47:18, 78:1, 88:17, 105:14, 122:16, 132:18, 134:17 handed [2]-10:14, 115:2 handful [1] - 124:22 handout \({ }_{[1]}\) - 132:16 handouts [1] - 124:9 hands [1] - 52:21 hang [3] - 30:17, 45:2, 114:7 Hannah [1]-115:5 happy [3]-45:17, 45:18, 60:13 harassed [1] - 114:23 hard [8] - 5:7, 33:18, 72:14, 87:21, 90:22, 100:2, 124:20, 135:12 hardly [1] - 84:9 hardworking [2] - 42:21, 85:11 harm [1] - 82:15 harms [1] - 79:13 harness [1] - 78:22 Harrington [14]-1:10, 2:4, 2:7, 2:17, 2:20, 3:10, 3:19, 4:9, 15:22, 16:2, 18:21, 65:7, 102:13, 139:18 HARRINGTON [11] - 4:10, 11:12, 15:24, 16:4, 16:6, 46:2, 65:9, 102:14, 102:16, 139:19, 139:21 Hartke [2] - 110:24, 121:5 Hartke's [1] - 110:11 harvest [2]-125:15, 125:22 harvesting [1] - 125:19 Hat [4]-113:21, 113:23, 131:18, 131:23 hauler [1] - 126:13 haven [1] - 112:12 hawk [1] - 128:6 hay [5] - 67:7, 67:10, 67:12, 67:13, 67:18 head [1] - 74:18 headaches [1] - 21:16 headed [1] - 17:18 heading [1] - 9:1``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```sheets [2] - 141:23, 141:24 shelly [2]-89:15, 114:4 Shelly [4]-58:16, 59:6, 77:12, 88:4 shiny [1] - 99:9 short [1] - 124:15 shorter [2]-20:3, 82:11 shorthand \({ }_{[1]}\) - 144:9 show [5] - 7:16, 64:6, 65:3, 74:17, 125:1 showed [3] - 36:18, 110:20, 111:8 showing [3]-66:24, 110:13, 132:20 shown [1] - 11:20 shows [1] - 64:12 shut [3] - 113:23, 114:2, 137:6 Sibley [4] - 42:3, 44:19, 44:20, 44:21 sickness [1] - 21:16 side [17]-8:12, \(8: 24\), 16:22, 20:5, 26:24, 27:11, 27:17, 32:16, 46:15, 90:6, 90:10, 107:16, 118:24, 119:1, 119:2, 125:3, 126:14 sight [2]-129:17, 138:5 \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }}[11]-37: 2,41: 16\), 43:12, 73:14, 80:5, 114:17, 114:22, 141:23, 141:24, 142:5 sign-in [2] - 141:24, 142:5 signals [1] - 56:5 signature [1]-52:14 signatures [3] - 112:18, 112:20, 112:22 signed [6] - \(34: 24\), 35:3, 40:11, 83:23, 112:2, 131:17 significant \([3]-56: 7\), 82:6, 131:15 similar [2] - 80:15, 80:16 simple [3] - 53:9, 60:19, 71:17 simply [1] - 50:16 sit [3] - 57:24, 107:21, 107:22 site [1] - 53:24 sites [2] - 66:4, 92:24 sitting [2] - 98:23,``` | 107:23 <br> situation [1] - 96:1 <br> six [4]-25:22, 59:21, 59:22, 74:4 <br> sixteenth [1]-67:20 <br> SIXTH [1] - 144:1 <br> Sixth [1] - 144:8 <br> skip [1] - 5:9 <br> sky [1] - 129:19 <br> sleep [2]-21:16, 136:17 <br> slightly [2]-22:8, 22:9 <br> slope [2]-126:12, 126:14 <br> slowing [1] - 81:7 <br> small [6] - 61:5, 61:6, <br> 74:13, 87:11, 90:21, 92:18 <br> smokescreen [1] 99:10 <br> smoothly [1] - 39:2 <br> soften [1] - 71:24 <br> soil [3]-52:2, 80:23, <br> 83:2 <br> solar [9]-55:1, 55:3, <br> 117:21, 117:22, <br> 117:23, 118:6, <br> 132:9, 133:9, 133:17 <br> sold [5] - 38:18, 43:24, <br> 79:1, 111:7, 113:10 <br> solid [1] - 129:24 <br> Solution [1] - 56:9 <br> Solutions [2]-55:24, 56:4 <br> someone [7]-25:23, 40:7, 40:18, 87:19, 114:14, 116:12, 120:14 <br> sometimes [1] - 92:14 <br> somewhat ${ }_{[1]}$ - 78:20 <br> soon [1] - 62:4 <br> sooner [1] - 101:22 <br> sorry [10] - 12:6, 28:6, 29:3, 64:3, 70:12, <br> 75:18, 75:22, 94:10, 115:22, 131:6 <br> sort [6] - 40:11, 44:6, 60:20, 61:5, 90:9, 101:14 <br> sound [16] - 11:21, 11:24, 56:19, 56:21, 58:7, 66:8, 75:3, 75:7, 81:8, 82:15, 104:21, 115:16, 115:18, 115:19, 126:5, 138:5 <br> Sound [1] - 56:15 <br> sounded ${ }_{[1]}$ - 121:19 <br> sounds [4] - 39:1, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90:24, 91:2, 91:10 } \\ & \text { source }[2]-81: 13, \\ & 85: 22 \\ & \text { sources }[2]-55: 4, \\ & \text { 133:8 } \\ & \text { south }[18]-8: 7,8: 14, \\ & 8: 18,16: 22,17: 2, \\ & 17: 20,17: 23,46: 13, \\ & 46: 15,46: 18,79: 4, \\ & 93: 1,93: 5,104: 20, \\ & 104: 23,107: 16, \\ & 129: 19 \\ & \text { South }[2]-124: 4, \\ & 128: 10 \\ & \text { southeast }[1]-22: 10 \\ & \text { Southern }[2]-42: 12, \\ & 51: 5 \\ & \text { southwest }[2]-22: 8, \\ & 93: 4 \\ & \text { soy }[1]-52: 1 \\ & \text { space }[2]-32: 19, \\ & 124: 6 \\ & \text { spaces }[1]-81: 2 \\ & \text { spare }[1]-130: 10 \\ & \text { speaking }[4]-72: 10, \\ & 78: 19,91: 5,126: 10 \\ & \text { speaks }[1]-112: 19 \\ & \text { SPECILL }[1]-1: 2 \\ & \text { special }[22]-5: 12, \\ & 10: 1,14: 7,15: 3, \\ & 18: 17,22: 18,47: 14, \\ & 68: 19,72: 3,74: 5, \\ & 76: 9,86: 16,104: 1, \\ & 106: 13,119: 14, \\ & 131: 22,132: 4, \\ & 136: 10,136: 15, \\ & 137: 22,138: 21, \\ & 139: 14 \\ & \text { Special }[1]-77: 2 \\ & \text { specialist }[1]-74: 12 \\ & \text { specialized }[1]-22: 14 \\ & \text { species }[1]-83: 7 \\ & \text { specific }[1]-56: 24 \\ & \text { specifically }[3]- \\ & 24: 18,24: 19,124: 17 \\ & \text { speed }[1]-41: 23 \\ & \text { spell }[5]-14: 21, \\ & 28: 19,39: 18,47: 22, \\ & 100: 22 \\ & \text { spelled }[1]-41: 2 \\ & \text { spelling }[11]-5: 19, \\ & 36: 2,70: 24,78: 6, \\ & 87: 5,88: 4,97: 23, \\ & 100: 23,105: 19, \\ & 122: 21,134: 23 \\ & \text { spend }[3]-20: 12, \\ & 30: 8,91: 7 \\ & \text { spent }[2]-89: 23,90: 3 \\ & \text { spiel }[1]-104: 15 \\ & \text { spin }[1]-91: 13 \end{aligned}$ | ```split [1] - 48:23 splitting [1] - 63:15 spoil [1] - 91:20 spoken [2]-112:12, 142:4 St \({ }_{[1]}\) - 108:3 staff [14] - 10:4, 18:18, 30:2, 33:9, 47:11, 61:4, 68:20, 76:10, 86:17, 97:11, 104:2, 122:5, 134:8, 140:22 stage \({ }_{[1]}\) - 26:7 Stalter [2]-109:15, 112:17 stand [5] - 27:1, 28:7, 28:11, 57:22, 98:17 standard [3] - 82:3, 91:16, 127:22 standards [4]-74:24, 82:5, 83:16, 83:18 standing [1] - 49:2 Stands [1] - 57:23 stare [1] - 61:14 start [5] - 35:15, 50:21, 57:15, 101:20, 135:11 started \([5]\) - 34:22, 87:21, 106:17, 106:23, 107:1 starting [1] - 87:14 starts [5]-9:3, 41:22, 77:1, 94:11, 104:20 STATE [1] - 1:1 state [25]-5:19, 5:22, 6:7, 14:21, 24:18, 24:19, 28:19, 30:22, 36:2, 42:13, 48:9, 51:24, 58:3, 62:20, 69:14, 70:23, 73:6, 78:5, 87:5, 97:23, 100:23, 105:18, 117:10, 122:21, 134:22 State [4]-49:15, 131:14, 132:19, 133:4 statement [17]-13:11, 22:20, 22:21, 23:1, 23:13, 24:20, 25:14, 26:18, 26:22, 28:13, 30:3, 62:14, 63:12, 63:16, 64:20, 73:20, 111:21 statements [3]-13:5, 13:17, 142:19 States [2] - 60:10, 99:6 states [3] - 22:13, 124:24, 133:11 statistics [1] - 130:23``` | ```stats [1] - 99:2 stay [1] - 63:23 steal [1] - 15:24 steep [1] - 126:14 steps [1]-99:16 Steve [6] - 14:14, 16:7, 111:4, 120:18, 120:22 Steve's [1] - 120:17 STEVEN \({ }_{[1]}-2: 6\) Steven [1] - 14:23 steward [1] - 59:23 stewards [2]-48:21, 127:13 stewardship \({ }_{[1]}\) - 123:19 sticking [1] - 41:12 Stiger \({ }_{[1]}\) - 109:10 stigma \([7]\) - 91:18, 92:5, 110:9, 113:13, 117:19 still \(_{[12]}-16: 2,41: 15\), 41:16, 45:12, 50:10, 83:12, 90:15, 109:11, 120:8, 129:1, 131:22, 133:10 stole [1] - 104:14 stop [3] - 73:3, 87:20, 112:18 storage [1] - 32:19 stories [1] - 127:7 storm [2] - 131:4, 131:12 story [4]-79:15, 90:9, 106:15, 107:9 straight \([10]-9: 2,9: 8\), 17:18, 17:20, 17:23, 22:5, 22:7, 57:8, 67:11, 104:19 strangely [1] - 128:20 street [10] - 42:10, 60:4, 60:7, 60:12, 61:7, 62:24, 64:15, 118:24, 119:1, 119:2 strengthens [1] - 21:2 strenuous [1] - 97:5 stress [3] - 84:16, 84:17, 136:17 stressful \({ }_{[1]}-84: 6\) stricken [2]-63:17, 64:15 strict \({ }_{[1]}\) - 26:14 strike [4]-15:6, 64:2, 64:13, 67:12 strip [1] - 67:6 structure [3]-8:14, 8:17, 99:3 structures [5] - 8:10, 8:16, 99:15, 99:21,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```139:7 stuck [1] - 42:18 studied [2]-82:18, 84:11 studies [5] - 83:20, 83:21, 110:13, 110:19, 129:7 study [10] - 75:3, 75:7, 84:7, 84:9, 89:3, 89:6, 110:15, 111:6, 129:10 studying \({ }_{[1]}\) - 128:16 stuff [2]-28:7, 106:16 stupid [1] - 73:1 Subdivision [1] - 109:14 subdivisions [3] - 107:1, 119:21, 119:22 subject [14]-24:2, 25:7, 25:11, 26:1, 26:12, 26:19, 27:3, 27:13, 27:16, 95:2, 95:16, 97:18, 98:10, 121:11 submit [5] - 10:17, 10:19, 34:1, 34:4, 51:7 submitted [4] - 54:22, 97:4, 142:15, 142:16 subscriber \([1]\) - \(56: 2\) subsidies [1] - 117:7 subsidized [1] - 84:23 substantially [3] - 22:14, 138:1, 138:9 substation [4]-17:18, 17:23, 18:24, 32:1 success [1] - 80:20 sue \([1]\) - 77:10 suggest \([1]-84: 3\) suggested [3]-82:20, 114:24, 129:6 suggesting \([1]-63: 13\) suggestion [1]-25:19 suicide [1] - 82:20 suited [2]-20:15, 21:3 suits [1] - 90:6 sum [1]-21:10 summary [1]-26:18 summer [1] - 30:11 sums [1] - 55:6 sun [1] - 20:2 sunny \([1]-19: 24\) sunset \([1]-57: 3\) sunsets [2] - 107:16, 107:24 SUP [2] - 11:20, 77:5 supervisor [1] - 38:17 supplies [1] - 50:2``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supply }[1]-133: 11 \\ & \text { supplying }[1]-133: 7 \\ & \text { support }[14]-9: 24, \\ & 18: 16,29: 24,33: 8, \\ & \text { 47:10, 49:11, } 68: 18, \\ & 76: 8,86: 15,103: 24, \\ & 122: 4,130: 20, \\ & \text { 134:7, 140:20 } \\ & \text { supported }[2]-75: 2, \\ & 79: 20 \\ & \text { supporting }[1]-89: 11 \\ & \text { suppose }[1]-94: 21 \\ & \text { supposed }[3]-38: 20, \\ & 42: 7,72: 18 \\ & \text { surely }[1]-125: 4 \\ & \text { surface }[1]-127: 16 \\ & \text { surrounding }[6]- \\ & 72: 24,83: 11,126: 1, \\ & 138: 23,138: 24, \\ & \text { 139:5 } \\ & \text { surveys }[1]-129: 2 \\ & \text { SUSAN }[2]-3: 15, \\ & 122: 23 \\ & \text { Susan }[1]-122: 11 \\ & \text { susan }[1]-122: 23 \\ & \text { susceptible }[2]- \\ & 21: 20 \\ & \text { sustain }[1]-99: 19 \\ & \text { swear }[2]-6: 1,6: 2 \\ & \text { switching }[1]-53: 10 \\ & \text { sworn }[14]-14: 16, \\ & 27: 19,28: 12,28: 13, \\ & 28: 14,30: 18,39: 14, \\ & 47: 18,65: 2,78: 1, \\ & 88: 17,105: 14, \\ & 122: 17,134: 18 \\ & \text { Sworn }[14]-6: 6, \\ & 14: 19,28: 18,30: 21, \\ & 39: 17,47: 21,70: 22, \\ & 78: 4,87: 3,88: 20, \\ & 100: 21,105: 17, \\ & 122: 20,134: 21 \\ & \text { Syndrome }[1]-82: 19 \\ & \text { system }[5]-44: 2, \\ & 44: 12,54: 4,84: 4 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```taxes [3]-61:1, 113:3, 117:9 taxing [2] - 91:23, 92:22 Taylor [2]-111:14, 120:22 Taylor's [1] - 120:20 Taylorville [2] - 113:20, 131:4 teachers [1]-85:15 team [1]-37:3 tear [2]-143:2, 143:5 technique [1] - 117:4 technologies [1] - 54:17 technology [6] - 20:20, 54:14, 81:5, 81:9, 101:15, 101:21 Ted [2]-110:11, 110:24 teens [1] - 98:17 teeth [1] - 37:19 telephone [3] - 106:15, 119:12, 120:3 television [1]-36:16 temporary [3] - 108:14, 117:13, 117:16 Ten [1] - 77:13 ten [5] - 21:13, 77:12, 77:14, 78:23, 111:9 tend [1]-42:3 tendered [1] -96:9 tent \({ }_{[1]}\) - 133:18 tenths [2]-22:5, 22:8 Teresa [1] - 29:13 term [7]-79:21, 84:7, 84:9, 91:17, 94:12, 113:5, 118:7 terminated \({ }_{[1]}\) - 81:19 terms [1] - 116:20 TERRY \({ }_{[2]}-2: 22,71: 1\) Terry [3]-69:24, 71:1, 132:13 Terry's [1] - 77:4 test \([1]\) - 85:13 testified [13]-21:13, 21:23, 66:6, 68:9, 82:7, 84:7, 125:2, 130:16, 132:13, 141:10, 141:12, 141:22, 142:3 testify [23]-13:6, 13:7, 23:19, 23:24, 25:13, 25:19, 35:10, 40:4, 47:16, 48:3, 48:4, 70:16, 86:22, 88:12, 100:13, 100:17, 104:8,``` | $\begin{gathered} 105: 11,122: 11, \\ \text { 134:12, 134:15, } \\ \text { 141:19, 142:8 } \\ \text { testifying }[10]-23: 16, \\ 25: 3,25: 10,25: 22, \\ 39: 9,39: 12,77: 19, \\ 95: 5,97: 17,106: 7 \\ \text { testimonies }[1]- \\ 55: 14 \\ \text { testimony }[28]-8: 1, \\ 13: 16,23: 1,24: 1, \\ 24: 23,26: 11,26: 12, \\ 27: 20,28: 8,30: 15, \\ 34: 9,34: 11,34: 20, \\ 47: 13,51: 22,63: 21, \\ 65: 2,65: 10,89: 24, \\ 90: 11,93: 22,95: 11, \\ 124: 9,125: 6, \\ 127: 24,131: 21, \\ 139: 22,141: 6 \\ \text { thanking }[1]-135: 12 \\ \text { Thanksgiving }[1]- \\ 59: 15 \\ \text { THE }[6]-1: 15,1: 17, \\ 1: 18,14: 20,144: 1 \\ \text { themselves }[5]- \\ 38: 16,58: 8,60: 17, \\ 79: 24,113: 7 \\ \text { therefore }[1]-78: 13 \\ \text { They've }[1]-110: 8 \\ \text { they've }[8]-89: 23, \\ 93: 18,97: 4,115: 21, \\ 117: 4,125: 8, \\ 141: 12,141: 15 \\ \text { thinking }[2]-101: 15, \\ 106: 15 \\ \text { thinks }[1]-59: 16 \\ \text { thoughts }[3]-17: 15, \\ 59: 12,106: 9 \\ \text { three }[24]-15: 14, \\ 22: 6,26: 15,27: 2, \\ 27: 13,36: 11,57: 7, \\ 58: 15,71: 6,77: 22, \\ 86: 22,86: 23,88: 12, \\ 88: 15,97: 20,97: 22, \\ 100: 15,104: 9, \\ 119: 24,122: 12, \\ 122: 13,124: 1, \\ 129: 1,13,134: 13 \\ \text { three-foot }[1]-119: 24 \\ \text { three-fourths }[1]- \\ 22: 6 \\ \text { three-minute }[5]- \\ 86: 22,86: 23,97: 20, \\ 122: 12,134: 13 \\ \text { three-minutes }[1]- \\ 27: 2 \\ \text { three-quarters }[2]- \\ 124: 1,129: 15 \\ \text { throughout }[3]- \\ \text { - } \end{gathered}$ | 36:15, 80:18, 98:21 throw [2]-61:3, 136:24 <br> thunder [1] - 104:15 <br> Thursday [1] - 126:9 <br> tie [1] - 140:2 <br> tied $[1]-52: 21$ <br> ties [1]-60:11 <br> tile [5]-21:5, 21:6, <br> 21:8, 53:19, 110:9 <br> tilling ${ }_{[1]}-48: 23$ <br> timeliness [1] - 53:10 <br> timely [1] - 131:20 <br> tired [1]-127:24 <br> today $[2]-34: 17,95: 6$ <br> Todd [2] - 1:13, 115:7 <br> together [1]-42:22 <br> tomorrow [14]-13:14, 95:18, 96:5, 97:1, <br> 112:19, 141:3, <br> 141:4, 141:7, <br> 141:21, 142:3, <br> 142:8, 142:18, <br> 142:21, 142:24 <br> Tomorrow [1] - 96:24 <br> tonight $[17]-8: 2$, <br> 13:13, 34:24, 36:8, <br> 50:16, 57:20, 69:21, <br> 78:17, 89:11, 89:13, <br> 93:2, 93:21, 98:9, <br> 116:3, 124:14, <br> 132:14, 143:3 <br> tons [1] - 127:14 <br> tool [2]-114:20, <br> 126:19 <br> top [6] - 8:11, 9:15, <br> 51:24, 77:1, 77:4, <br> 113:23 <br> Top [3]-113:21, <br> 131:17, 131:22 <br> topic [1]-136:19 <br> topics [1] - 50:17 <br> Topics [1] - 129:6 <br> tore [1] - 61:8 <br> tornado [3]-113:20, <br> 116:13, 131:4 <br> totally [2] - 81:21, <br> 82:17 <br> touch [1]-50:18 <br> tour [1]-50:3 <br> tout [1]-49:8 <br> toward [1] - 89:20 <br> towards [1] - 129:14 <br> Tower [4] - 132:10, <br> 135:23, 135:24, <br> 139:23 <br> tower [11]-60:22, <br> 73:5, 75:12, 102:23, <br> 124:5, 125:9, <br> 125:19, 126:4, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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